arXiv:1402.1980v1 [math.OA] 9 Feb 2014

DETERMINANT RANK OF C^*-ALGEBRAS

GUIHUA GONG HUAXIN LIN YIFENG XUE

Abstract. Let A be a unital C^*–algebra and let $U_0(A)$ be the group of unitaries of A which are path connected to the identity. Denote by $CU(A)$ the closure of the commutator subgroup of $U_0(A)$. Let $i_A^{(1,n)}: U_0(A)/CU(A) \to U_0(\mathrm{M}_n(A))/CU(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$ be the homomorphism defined by sending u to $\mathrm{diag}(u, 1_n)$. We study the problem when the map $i_A^{(1,n)}$ is an isomorphism for all n. We show that it is always surjective and is injective when A has stable rank one. It is also injective when A is a unital C^*–algebra of real rank zero, or A has no tracial state. We prove that the map is an isomorphism when A is the Villadsen's simple AH–algebra of stable rank $k > 1$. We also prove that the map is an isomorphism for all Blackadar's unital projectionless separable simple C^*–algebras. Let $A = \mathrm{M}_n(C(X))$, where X is any compact metric space. It is noted that the map $i_A^{(1,n)}$ is an isomorphism for all n. As a consequence, the map $i_A^{(1,n)}$ is always an isomorphism for any unital C^*–algebra A that is an inductive limit of finite direct sum of C^*–algebras of the form $\mathrm{M}_n(C(X))$ as above. Nevertheless we show that there are unital C^*–algebras A such that $i_A^{(1,2)}$ is not an isomorphism.

1. Introduction

Let A be a unital C^*–algebra and let $U(A)$ be the unitary group. Denote by $U_0(A)$ the normal subgroup which is the connected component of $U(A)$ containing the identity of A. Denote by $DU(A)$ the commutator subgroup of $U_0(A)$ and by $CU(A)$ the closure of $DU(A)$. We will study the group $U_0(A)/CU(A)$. Recently this group becomes an important invariant for the structure of C^*–algebras. It plays an important role in the classification of C^*–algebras (see [4], [5],[16],[21],[7],[6],[11] and [8], for example). It was shown in [11] that the map $U_0(A)/CU(A) \to U_0(\mathrm{M}_n(A))/CU(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$ is an isomorphism for all $n \geq 1$ if A is a unital simple C^*–algebra of tracial rank at most one (see also 3.5 of [13]). In general, when A has stable rank k, it was shown by Rieffel ([19]) that map $U(\mathrm{M}_k(A))/U_0(\mathrm{M}_k(A)) \to U(\mathrm{M}_{k+m}(A))/U_0(\mathrm{M}_{k+m}(A))$ is an isomorphism for all integers $m \geq 1$. In this case $U(\mathrm{M}_k(A))/U_0(\mathrm{M}_k(A)) = K_1(A)$. This fact plays an important role in the study of the structure of C^*–algebras, in particular, in the study of C^*–algebras of stable rank one since it simplifies computations when K–theory involved. Therefore it seems natural to ask when the map $i_A^{(1,n)}: U_0(A)/CU(A) \to U_0(\mathrm{M}_n(A))/CU(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$ is an isomorphism. It will also greatly simplify our understanding and usage of the group when $i_A^{(1,n)}$ is an isomorphism for all n. The main tool to study $U_0(\mathrm{M}_n(A))/CU(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$ is the de la Harp and Skandalis determinant as studied early by C. Thomsen ([20]) which involves the tracial state space $T(A)$ of A. On the other hand, we observe that, when $T(A) = \emptyset$, $U_0(A)/CU(A) = \{0\}$. So our attention focuses on the case that $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. One of the authors was asked repeatedly if the map $i_A^{(1,n)}$ is an isomorphism when A has stable rank one.

It turns out that it is easy to see that the map $i_A^{(1,n)}$ is always surjective for all n. Therefore the issue is when $i_A^{(1,n)}$ is injective. We introduce the following:

Definition 1.1. *Let A be a unital C^*–algebra. Consider the homomorphism:*

$$i_A^{(m,n)}\colon U_0(\mathrm{M}_m(A))/CU(\mathrm{M}_m(A)) \to U_0(\mathrm{M}_n(A))/CU(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$$

(induced by $u \mapsto \mathrm{diag}(u, 1_{n-m})$) for integer $n \geq m \geq 1$. The determinant rank of A is defined to be

$$\mathrm{Dur}(A) = \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} |\, i_A^{(m,n)} \text{ is isomorphism for all } n > m\}.$$

If no such integer exists, we set $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = \infty$.

We show that if $A = \lim_{n\to\infty} A_n$, then $\mathrm{Dur}(A) \leq \sup_{n\geq 1}\{\mathrm{Dur}(A_n)\}$. We prove that $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$ for all C^*–algebras of stable rank one which answers the question mentioned above. We also show that $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$ for any unital C^*–algebra A with real rank zero. A closely related and repeated used fact is that the map $u \to u + (1 - e)$ is an isomorphism from $U(eAe)/CU(eAe)$ onto $U(A)/CU(A)$ when A is a unital simple C^*–algebra of tracial rank at most one and $e \in A$ is a projection (see 6.7 of [11] and 3.4 of [13]). We show in this note that this holds for any simple C^*–algebra of stable rank one.

Given Rieffel's early result mentioned above, one might be led to think that, when A has higher stable rank, or at least, when $A = C(X)$ for higher dimensional finite CW complexes, $\mathrm{Dur}(A)$ perhaps is large. On the other hand it was suggested (see Section 3 of [20]) that $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$ may hold for most unital simple separable C^*–algebras. We found out, somewhat surprisingly, the determinant rank of $\mathrm{M}_n(C(X))$ is always one for any compact metric space X and for any integer $n \geq 1$. This, together with previous mentioned result, shows that if $A = \lim_{n\to\infty} A_n$, where A_n is a finite direct sum of C^*–algebras of the form $\mathrm{M}_n(C(X))$, then $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$. Furthermore, we found out that $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$ for all Villadsen's examples of unital simple AH–algebras A with higher stable rank. This research suggests that when A has abundant amount of projections then $\mathrm{Dur}(A)$ is likely one (see part (3) of 3.6). In fact, we prove that if A is a unital simple AH–algebra with property (SP), then $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$. On the other hand, however, we show that if A is a unital projectionless simple C^*–algebra and $\rho_A(K_0(A)) = \mathbb{Z}$, then $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$. Furthermore, if A is one of the Blackadar's example of unital projectionless simple separable C^*–algebra with infinite many extremal tracial states, then $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$. Indeed, it looks that it is difficult to find any examples of unital separable simple C^*–algebras whose $\mathrm{Dur}(A)$ is larger than one. Nevertheless Proposition 3.12 below provides a necessary condition for $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$. In fact we found that certain unital separable C^*–algebra violates this condition, which, in turn, provides an example of unital separable C^*–algebra A such that $\mathrm{Dur}(A) > 1$.

Acknowledgements: The most of this work was done when second named and third named authors were in the Research Center for Operator Algebras in the East China Normal University. They are both partially supported by the center.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we list some notations and some basic known facts many of which are taken from [20] and other sources for the convenience.

Definition 2.1. Let A be a C^*–algebra. Denote by $\mathrm{M}_n(A)$ the $n \times n$ matrix algebra of over A. If A is not unital, we will use $\widetilde{A}$ for the unitization of A. Suppose that A is unital. For u in $U_0(A)$, let $[u]$ be the class of u in $U_0(A)/CU(A)$.

We view A^n as the set of all $n \times 1$ matrices over A. Set

$$S_n(A) = \{(a_1, \cdots, a_n)^T \in A^n | \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* a_i = 1\},$$

$$\mathrm{Lg}_n(A) = \{(a_1, \cdots, a_n)^T \in A^n | \sum_{i=1}^n b_i a_i = 1, \text{ for some } b_1, \cdots, b_n \in A\}.$$

According to [18] and [19], the topological stable rank, the connected stable rank of A are defined respectively as follows:

$$\mathrm{tsr}(A) = \min\{ n \in \mathbb{N} | \mathrm{Lg}_m(A) \text{ is dense in } A^m, \forall\, m \geq n \}$$

$$\mathrm{csr}(A) = \min\{ n \in \mathbb{N} | U_0(\mathrm{M}_m(A)) \text{ acts transitively on } S_m(A), \forall\, m \geq n \}.$$

If no such integer exists, we set $\mathrm{tsr}(A) = \infty$ and $\mathrm{csr}(A) = \infty$, respectively. Those stable ranks of C^*–algebras are very useful tools in computing K–groups of C^*–algebras (cf. [19], [23], [24] and [25] etc.)

Definition 2.2. Let A be a C^*–algebra. Denote by $A_{s.a.}$ (resp. A_+) the set of all self–adjoint (resp. positive) elements in A. Denote by $T(A)$ the tracial state space of A. Let $\tau \in T(A)$. We will also use the notation τ for the un–normalized trace $\tau \otimes Tr_n$ on $\mathrm{M}_n(A)$, where Tr_n is the standard trace for $\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Every tracial state on $\mathrm{M}_n(A)$ has the form $(1/n)\tau$.

Definition 2.3. For $a, b \in A$, set $[a, b] = ab - ba$. Furthermore, we set

$$[A, A] = \Big\{ \sum_{j=1}^n [a_j, b_j] | \, a_j, b_j \in A, j = 1, \cdots, n, n \geq 1 \Big\}.$$

Now according to [3], let A_0 denote the subset of $A_{s.a.}$ consisting of elements of the form $x - y$, $x, y \in A_{sa}$ with $x = \sum_{j=1}^\infty c_j c_j^*$ and $y = \sum_{j=1}^\infty c_j^* c_j$ (converge in norm) for some sequence $\{c_j\}$ in A. By [3], A_0 is a closed subspace of $A_{s.a.}$.

The following is surely known (see [3] and section 3 of [20]).

Proposition 2.4. *Let A be a C^*–algebra with the unit 1. The the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) $A_0 = A_{s.a.}$;
(2) $1 \in A_0$;
(3) $T(A) = \emptyset$;
(4) $A = \overline{[A, A]}$;
(5) $A_{s.a.} = \overline{\text{span}\{[a^*, a] | \, a \in A\}}$.

Proof. (1)⇒(2) is obvious.

(2)⇒(3): If $T(A) \neq \emptyset$, then there is a tracial state τ on A. Since $1 \in A_0$, it follows that there is a sequence $\{a_j\}$ in A such that $b = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j^* a_j$ and $c = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j a_j^*$ are convergent in A and $1 = b - c$. Thus, $\tau(b) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau(a_j^* a_j) = \tau(c)$ and $\tau(1) = \tau(b - c) = 0$. But it is impossible for $\tau(1) = 1$.

(3)⇒(1): This follows from the proof of 3.1 of [20].

(4)⇔(5): Let $a, b \in A$ and write $a = a_1 + ia_2$ and $b = b_1 + ib_2$, where $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in A_{s.a.}$. Then

$$[a, b] = [a_1, b_1] - [a_2, b_2] + i[a_2, b_1] + i[a_1, b_2]. \tag{2.1}$$

Put $c_1 = a_1 + ib_1$, $c_2 = a_2 + ib_2$, $c_3 = a_2 + ib_1$ and $c_4 = a_1 + ib_2$. Then from (2.1), we get that

$$[a, b] = \frac{1}{2i}[c_1^*, c_1] - \frac{1}{2i}[c_2^*, c_2] + \frac{1}{2}[c_3^*, c_3] + \frac{1}{2}[c_4^*, c_4]. \tag{2.2}$$

So by (2.2), (4) and (5) are equivalent.

(5)⇒(1) Let $x \in \text{span}\{[a^*, a] | \, a \in A\}$. Then there are elements $a_1, \cdots, a_k \in A$ and positive numbers $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_k$ such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^{j} \lambda_i [a_i^*, a_i] - \sum_{i=j+1}^{k} \lambda_i [a_i^*, a_i]$ for some $j \in \{1, \cdots, k\}$. Put $c_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i}\, a_i$, $i = 1, \cdots, j$ and $c_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i}\, a_i^*$ when $i = j + 1, \cdots, k$. Then $x = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i^* c_i - \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i c_i^* \in A_0$. Since A_0 is closed, we get that

$$A_{s.a.} = \overline{\text{span}\{[a^*, a] | \, a \in A\}} \subset \overline{A_0} = A_0 \subset A_{s.a.}.$$

(1)⇒(5) According to definition of A_0, every element $x \in A_0$ has the form $x = x_1 - x_2$, where $x_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z_i^* z_i$ and $x_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z_i z_i^*$. Thus, $x \in \overline{\text{span}\{[a^*, a] | \, a \in A\}}$ and hence $A_{s.a.} = \overline{\text{span}\{[a^*, a] | \, a \in A\}}$. □

Combining Proposition 2.4 with 2.2, we have

Corollary 2.5. *Let A be a unital C^*–algebra with $A_0 = A_{s.a.}$. Then $(\mathrm{M}_n(A))_0 = (\mathrm{M}_n(A))_{s.a.}$.*

Let $a, b \in A_{s.a.}$. Then, for any $n \geq 1$, $\exp(ia)\exp(ib)\big(\exp(-i\frac{a}{n})\exp(-i\frac{b}{n})\big)^n \in DU(A)$ and $\exp(-i(a+b)) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\big(\exp(-i\frac{a}{n})\exp(-i\frac{b}{n})\big)^n$ by Trotter Product Formula (cf. [14, Theorem 2.2]). So $\exp(ia)\exp(ib)\exp(-i(a+b)) \in CU(A)$. Consequently,

$$[\exp(ia)][\exp(ib)] = [\exp(i(a+b))] \quad \text{in} \quad U_0(A)/CU(A). \tag{2.3}$$

The following is taken from the proof of 3.1 of [20].

Lemma 2.6. *Let $a \in A_{s.a.}$*

(1) *If $a \in A_0$, then $[\exp(ia)] = 0$ in $U_0(A)/CU(A)$;*
(2) *If $T(A) \neq \emptyset$ and $\tau(a) = \tau(b)$, $\forall\, \tau \in T(A)$, then $a - b \in A_0$ and $[\exp(ia)] = [\exp(ib)]$ in $U_0(A)/CU(A)$.*

Combing Lemma 2.6 (1) with Corollary 2.5, we have

Corollary 2.7. *If $T(A) = \emptyset$, then $U_0(\mathrm{M}_n(A)) = CU(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$, $n \geq 1$.*

Definition 2.8. Let A be a unital C^*–algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $PU_0^n(A)$ denote the set of all piecewise smooth maps $\xi\colon [0,1] \to U_0(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$ with $\xi(0) = 1_n$, where 1_n is the unit of $\mathrm{M}_n(A)$. For $\tau \in T(A)$, the de la Harpe and Skandalis function Δ_τ^n on $PU_0^n(A)$ is given by

$$\Delta_\tau^n(\xi(t)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^1 \tau(\xi'(t)(\xi(t))^*)\,\mathrm{d}\,t, \quad \forall\, \xi \in PU_0^n(A).$$

Note we use un–normalized trace $\tau = \tau \otimes Tr_n$ on $\mathrm{M}_n(A)$. This gives a homomorphism $\Delta^n : PU_0^n(A) \to \mathrm{Aff}(T(A))$.

We list some of properties of $\Delta_\tau^n(\cdot)$, which are taken from Lemma 1 and Lemma 3 in [9], as following lemma:

Lemma 2.9. *Let A be a unital C^*–algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi \in PU_0^n(A)$. Then*

(1) *$\Delta_\tau^n(\xi_1(t)) = \Delta_\tau^n(\xi_2(t))$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$, if $\xi_1(1) = \xi_2(1)$ and $\xi_1\xi_2^* \in U_0(\widetilde{(C_0(S^1, \mathrm{M}_n(A)))})$;*
(2) *there are $y_1, \cdots, y_k \in \mathrm{M}_n(A)_{s.a.}$ such that $\Delta_\tau^n(\xi(t)) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \tau(y_j)$, $\forall\, \tau \in T(A)$ and $\xi(1) = \exp(i2\pi y_1)\cdots\exp(i2\pi y_k)$.*

Definition 2.10. Let A be a C^*–algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Denote by $\mathrm{Aff}(T(A))$ the set of all real continuous affine functions on $T(A)$. Define $\rho_A\colon K_0(A) \to \mathrm{Aff}(T(A))$ by

$$\rho_A([p])(\tau) = \tau(p), \qquad \forall\, \tau \in T(A),$$

where $p \in \mathrm{M}_n(A)$ is a projection.

Define $P_n(A)$ the subgroup of $K_0(A)$ which is generated by projections in $\mathrm{M}_n(A)$. Denote by $\rho_A^n(K_0(A))$ the subgroup $\rho_A(P_n(A))$ of $\rho_A(K_0(A))$. In particular, $\rho_A^1(K_0(A))$ is the subgroup of $\rho_A(K_0(A))$ which is generated by the image of projections in A under the map ρ_A.

Definition 2.11. Let A be a unital C^*–algebra. Denote by $LU_0^n(A)$ be the set of those piecewise smooth loops in $U(\widetilde{C_0(S^1, \mathrm{M}_n(A))})$. Then by the Bott periodicity, $\Delta^n(LU_0^n(A)) \subset \rho_A(K_0(A))$. Denote by

$$\mathfrak{q}^n : \mathrm{Aff}(T(A)) \to \mathrm{Aff}(T(A))/\overline{\Delta^n(LU_0^n(A))}$$

the quotient map. Put $\overline{\Delta}^n = \mathfrak{q}^n \circ \Delta^n$. Since $\overline{\Delta}^n$ vanishes on $LU_0^n(A)$, we also use $\overline{\Delta}^n$ for the homomorphism from $U_0(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$ into $\mathrm{Aff}(T(A))/\overline{\Delta^n(LU_0^n(A))}$. An important

fact that we will repeatedly use is that *the kernel of* $\overline{\Delta^n}$ *is exactly* $CU(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$, by 3.1 of [20], a result of Thomsen. In other words, if $u \in U_0(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$ and $\overline{\Delta^n}(u) = 0$, then $u \in CU(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$.

Corollary 2.12. *Let A be a unital C^*–algebra and let $u \in U_0(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$ for $n \geq 1$. Then there is $a \in A_{s.a.}$ and $v \in CU(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$ such that $u = \mathrm{diag}\,(\exp(i2\pi a), 1_{n-1})v$, (in case that $n = 1$, we make $\mathrm{diag}\,(\exp(i2\pi a), 1_{n-1}) = \exp(i2\pi a)$).*

Moreover, if there is a $u \in PU_0^n(A)$ with $u(1) = u$, we can choose a so that $\hat{a} = \Delta^n(u(t))$, where $\hat{a}(\tau) = \tau(a)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$.

Proof. Fix a piecewise smooth path $u(t) \in PU_0^n(A)$ with $u(0) = 1$ and $u(1) = u$. By (2) of 2.9, there are $a_1, a_2, ..., a_m \in \mathrm{M}_n(A)_{s.a.}$ such that

$$u = \prod_{j=1}^{m} \exp(i2\pi a_j) \text{ and } \Delta_\tau^n(u(t)) = \tau(\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j) \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A).$$

Put $a_0 = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j$. Write $a_0 = (b_{i,j})_{n\times n}$. Define $a = \sum_{i=1}^n b_{i,i}$. Then $a \in A_{s.a.}$. Moreover,

$$\overline{\Delta^n}(\mathrm{diag}\,(\exp(-i2\pi a), 1_{n-1})u) = 0.$$

Thus, by 3.1 of [20], $\mathrm{diag}\,(\exp(-2\pi a), 1_{n-1})u \in CU(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$. Put $v = \mathrm{diag}\,(\exp(-i2\pi a), 1_{n-1})u$. Then $u = \mathrm{diag}\,(\exp(i2\pi a), 1_{n-1})v$. □

3. Determinant rank

Let A be a unital C^*–algebra. Consider the homomorphism:

$$\imath_A^{(m,n)} \colon U_0(\mathrm{M}_m(A))/CU(\mathrm{M}_m(A)) \to U_0(\mathrm{M}_n(A))/CU(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$$

for integer $n \geq m \geq 1$.

We begin with the following:

Proposition 3.1. *Let A be a unital C^*–algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Then*

$$\imath_A^{(m,n)} \colon U_0(\mathrm{M}_m(A))/CU(\mathrm{M}_m(A)) \to U_0(\mathrm{M}_n(A))/CU(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$$

is surjective for $n \geq m \geq 1$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\imath_A^{(1,n)}$ is surjective. Let $u \in U_0(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$. It follows from 2.12 that $u = \mathrm{diag}\,(\exp(i2\pi a), 1_{n-1})v$ for some $a \in A_{s.a.}$ and $v \in CU(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$. Then $\imath_A^{(1,n)}([\exp(i2\pi a)]) = [u]$. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let A be a unital C^*–algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $u \in U_0(\mathrm{M}_m(A))$.*

(1) *If $\Delta^n(\mathrm{diag}\,(u(t), 1_{n-m}) \in \overline{\Delta^n(LU_0^n(A))}$ for some $n > m$, where $\{u(t) : t \in [0,1]\}$ is a piecewise smooth path with $u(0) = 1_m$ and $u(1) = u$, then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $a \in \mathrm{M}_m(A)_{s.a.}$ with $\|a\| < \epsilon$, $b \in \mathrm{M}_m(A)_{s.a.}$, $v \in CU(\mathrm{M}_m(A))$ and $w \in LU_0^n(A)$ such that*

$$u = \exp(i2\pi a)\exp(i2\pi b)v \text{ and } \tau(b) = \Delta_\tau^n(w(t)) \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A). \tag{3.1}$$

(2) *If* $\Delta^m(u(t)) \in \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}$ *for some* $u \in PU_0^m(A)$ *with* $u(1) = u$, *then, for any* $\epsilon > 0$, *there exist* $a \in \mathrm{M}_m(A)_{s.a.}$ *with* $\|a\| < \epsilon$, $b \in \mathrm{M}_m(A)_{s.a.}$ *and* $v \in CU(\mathrm{M}_m(A))$ *such that*

$$u = \exp(i2\pi a)\exp(i2\pi b)v \text{ and } \hat{b} \in \rho_A(K_0(A)), \tag{3.2}$$

where $\hat{b}(\tau) = \tau(b)$ *for all* $\tau \in T(A)$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. For (1), there is $w \in LU_0^n(A)$ such that

$$\sup\{|\Delta_\tau^n(u(t)) - \Delta_\tau^n(w(t))| : \tau \in T(A)\} < \epsilon/3\pi \tag{3.3}$$

There is $a_1 \in M_m(A)_{s.a.}$ by Corollary 2.12 such that

$$\tau(a_1) = \Delta_\tau^n(u(t)) - \Delta_\tau^n(w(t)) \ \ for \ all \ \ \tau \in T(A). \tag{3.4}$$

Combining (3.3) with [3] and the proof of 3.1 of [20], we can find $a \in \mathrm{M}_m(A)_{s.a.}$ such that $\tau(a) = \tau(a_1)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$ and $\|a\| < \epsilon/2\pi$. There is also $b \in A_{s.a.}$ such that $\tau(b) = -\Delta_\tau^n(w(t))$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Put

$$v(t) = \exp(-i2\pi bt)\exp(-i2\pi at)u(t) \text{ for } t \in [0,1] \tag{3.5}$$

and $v = v(1)$. Then $\Delta^n(v(t)) = 0$. It follows from 3.1 of [20] that $v \in CU(A)$. Then $u = \exp(i2\pi a)\exp(i2\pi b)v$.

For (2), there is an integer $n \geq m$ and projections $p, q \in \mathrm{M}_n(A)$ such that (for a piecewise smooth path $\{u(t) : t \in [0,1]\}$ with $u(0) = 1_n$ and $u(1) = u$)

$$\|\Delta_\tau^m(u(t)) - \tau(p) + \tau(q)\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A). \tag{3.6}$$

Let $b \in \mathrm{M}_m(A)_{s.a.}$ such that $\tau(b) = \tau(p) - \tau(q)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$ (see the proof above) and there is $a \in \mathrm{M}_m(A)_{s.a.}$ with $\|a\| < \epsilon$ such that

$$\tau(a) = \Delta_\tau^m(u(t)) - \tau(p) + \tau(q) \ \ for \ all \ \ \tau \in T(A). \tag{3.7}$$

Now let $v = u\exp(-i2\pi a)\exp(-i2\pi b)$ and set $v(t) = u(t)\exp(-i2\pi at)\exp(-i2\pi bt)$. Then $\Delta_\tau^n(v(t)) = 0$. It follows from 3.1 of [20] that $v \in CU(\mathrm{M}_m(A))$. □

Let A be a unital C^*–algebra. Let $\mathrm{Dur}(A)$ be defined as in 1.1. It follows from 2.7 that, if $T(A) = \emptyset$, then $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let* A *be a unital* C^**–algebra. Then, for any integer* $n \geq 1$,

$$\mathrm{Dur}(\mathrm{M}_n(A)) \leq \Big[\frac{\mathrm{Dur}(A) - 1}{n}\Big] + 1,$$

where $[x]$, *is the integer part of* x,

Proof. We note that $n([\frac{\mathrm{Dur}(A)-1}{n}] + 1) \geq \mathrm{Dur}(A)$. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let* A *be a unital* C^**–algebra,* $I \subset A$ *be a closed ideal of* A *such that the quotient map* $\pi\colon A \to A/I$ *induces the surjective map from* $K_0(A)$ *onto* $K_0(A/I)$. *Then* $\mathrm{Dur}(A/I) \leq \mathrm{Dur}(A)$.

Proof. Let $m = \mathrm{Dur}(A)$ and $n > m$. Let $u \in U_0(\mathrm{M}_m(A/I))$ be such that $\mathrm{diag}\,(u, 1_{n-m}) \in CU(\mathrm{M}_n(A/I))$. We will show that $u \in CU(\mathrm{M}_m(A/I))$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. By Lemma 3.2, without loss of generality, we may assume that there are a_1, $b_1 \in (\mathrm{M}_m(A/I))_{s.a.}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u =& \exp(i2\pi a_1)\exp(i2\pi b_1)v,\ v \in CU(\mathrm{M}_m(A/I)),\\ &\|a_1\| < \epsilon \text{ and } \tau(b_1) = \tau(q_1) - \tau(q_2), \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where $q_1, q_2 \in M_K(A/I)$ are projections for some large $K \geq m$, for all $\tau \in T(A/I)$. By the assumption, without loss of generality, we may assume that there are projections $p_1, p_2 \in \mathrm{M}_K(A)$ such that $\pi_*([p_1 - [p_2]) = [q_1] - [q_2]$, where $\pi_* : K_0(A) \to K_0(A/I)$ is induced by π. Let $b_2 \in (\mathrm{M}_m(A))_{s.a.}$ such that $\tau(b_2) = \tau(p_1) - \tau(p_2)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$. There is $a \in (\mathrm{M}_m(A))_{s.a.}$ such that $\pi_m(a) = a_1$, where $\pi_m \colon \mathrm{M}_m(A) \to \mathrm{M}_m(A/I)$ is the induced map induced by π. Then, we compute that, by (3.8),

$$\pi_m(\exp(i2\pi a))\pi_m(\exp(i2\pi b_2))u^* \in CU(\mathrm{M}_m(A/I)). \tag{3.9}$$

Put $u_1 = \pi_m(\exp(i2\pi a))\pi_m(\exp(i2\pi b_2)$. Let $w = \exp(i2\pi b_2)$. Then $\overline{\Delta}(w) = 0$. Since $m = \mathrm{Dur}(A)$, this implies that $w \in CU(M_m(A))$. It follows that $\pi_m(w) \in CU(\mathrm{M}_m(A/I))$ which implies (by (3.9)) that $\mathrm{dist}(u, CU(\mathrm{M}_m(A/I))) < \epsilon$. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let $A = \lim_{n\to\infty}(A_n, \phi_n)$ be a unital C^*–algebra, where each A_n is unital. Suppose that* $\mathrm{Dur}(A_n) \leq r$ *for all n. Then* $\mathrm{Dur}(A) \leq r$.

Proof. We will use $\phi_{n_1,n_2} : A_{n_1} \to A_{n_2}$ for $\phi_{n_2} \circ \phi_{n_2-1} \cdots \phi_{n_1}$ and $\phi_{n_1,\infty} : A_{n_1} \to A$ for the map induced by the inductive limit system. Let $u \in U_0(\mathrm{M}_r(A))$ such that $u_1 = \mathrm{diag}\,(u, 1_{n-r}) \in CU(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$ for some $n > r$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. There is a $v \in DU(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$ such that

$$\|u_1 - v\| < \epsilon/8n. \tag{3.10}$$

Write $v = \prod_{j=1}^{K} v_j$, where $v_j = x_j y_j x_j^* y_j$ and $x_j, y_j \in U_0(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$, $j = 1, 2, ..., K$. Choose large $N \geq 1$ such that there are $v' \in U_0(\mathrm{M}_r(A_N))$ and $x_j', y_j' \in U_0(\mathrm{M}_n(A_N))$ such that

$$\|u - \phi_{N,\infty}(u')\| < \epsilon/8nK \text{ and } \|\phi_{N,\infty}(x_j') - x_j\| < \epsilon/8nK,\ j = 1, 2, ..., K. \tag{3.11}$$

Then, we have by (3.10) and (3.11),

$$\|\phi_{N,\infty}(u_1') - \prod_{j=1}^{K} \phi_{N,\infty}(v_j')\| < \epsilon/4n, \tag{3.12}$$

where $u_1' = \mathrm{diag}\,(u', 1_{n-r})$ and $v_j' = x_j' y_j' (x_j')^* (y_j')^*$, $j = 1, 2, ..., K$. Then (3.12) implies that there is $N_1 > N$ such that

$$\|\phi_{N,N_1}(u_1') - \prod_{j=1}^{K} \phi_{N,N_1}(v_j')\| < \epsilon/2n. \tag{3.13}$$

Put $U = \phi_{N,N_1}(u')$ and $U_1 = \mathrm{diag}\,(U, 1_{n-r})$ and $w_j = \phi_{N,N_1}(v_j')$, $j = 1, 2, ..., K$. Note that $\phi_{N_1,\infty}(U) = \phi_{N,\infty}(u')$. There is $a \in (\mathrm{M}_n(A_{N_1}))_{s.a.}$ by (3.13) such that

$$U_1 = \exp(i2\pi a)\prod_{j=1}^{K} w_j \ \text{ and } \ \|a\| < 2\arcsin(\epsilon/8n). \tag{3.14}$$

There is $b \in (\mathrm{M}_r(A_{N_1}))_{s.a.}$ such that

$$\tau(b) = \tau(a) \ \ for \ \ all \ \ \tau \in T(A) \ \text{ and } \ \|b\| < 2n\arcsin(\epsilon/8n). \tag{3.15}$$

Put $W = \mathrm{diag}\,(U\exp(-i2\pi b), 1_{n-r})$. Then $W \in CU(\mathrm{M}_n(A_{N_1}))$. Since $\mathrm{Dur}(A_{N_1}) \le r$, we conclude that $U\exp(-i2\pi b) \in CU(\mathrm{M}_r(A_{N_1}))$. It follows that $\phi_{N_1,\infty}(U\exp(-i2\pi b)) \in CU(\mathrm{M}_r(A))$. However, by (3.10), (3.11), (3.15),

$$\begin{aligned}\|u - \phi_{N_1,\infty}(U\exp(-i2\pi b))\| &\le \|u - \phi_{N,\infty}(u')\| \\ &\quad + \|\phi_{N_1,\infty}(U) - \phi_{N_1,\infty}(U\exp(-i2\pi b))\| \\ &< \epsilon/8nK + \|1 - \exp(-i2\pi\phi_{N_1,\infty}(b))\| \\ &< \epsilon/8nK + \epsilon/4 < \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{Dur}(A) \le r$. □

Proposition 3.6. *Let A be a unital C^*–algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $a \in A_{s.a.}$ and put $\hat{a}(\tau) = \tau(a)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$.*

1. *If $\exp(2\pi ia) \in CU(A)$, then $\hat{a} \in \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}$;*
2. *If $u \in U_0(A)$ and for some piecewise smooth path $\{u(t) : t \in [0,1]\}$ with $u(0) = 1$ and $u(1) = u$, $\Delta^1(u(t)) \in \overline{\rho_A^k(K_0(A))}$ for some $k \ge 1$, then $\mathrm{diag}\,(u, 1_{k-1}) \in CU(\mathrm{M}_k(A))$;*
3. *If $\overline{\rho_A^1(K_0(A))} = \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}$, then $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$.*

Proof. Part (1) follows from [20].

(2): By applying Corollary 2.12, there is $v \in CU(A)$ such that

$$u = \exp(i2\pi a)v \ \text{ and } \ \tau(a) = \Delta_\tau^1(u(t)) \ \ for \ \ all \ \ \tau \in T(A).$$

So for any $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, there are projections $p_1, \cdots, p_{m_1}$, $q_1, \cdots, q_{m_2} \in \mathrm{M}_k(A)$ such that

$$\sup\{|\sum_{j=1}^{m_1}\tau(p_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{m_2}\tau(q_j) - \tau(a)| : \tau \in T(A)\} < \arcsin(\epsilon/4)/\pi. \tag{3.16}$$

Set $b = \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} p_j - \sum_{j=1}^{m_2} q_j$ and $a_0 = \mathrm{diag}\,(a, \overbrace{0, 0, ..., 0}^{(k-1)})$. Then $a_0, b \in \mathrm{M}_k(A)_{s.a.}$ and

$$|\tau(a_0) - \tau(b)| < \arcsin(\epsilon/4)/k\pi, \quad \forall\, \tau \in T(\mathrm{M}_k(A))$$

by (3.16). Thus, by the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [20], we have

$$\inf\{\|a_0 - b - x\| \,|\, x \in (\mathrm{M}_k(A))_0\} = \sup\{|\tau(a_0 - b) : \tau \in T(\mathrm{M}_k(A))\} \le \arcsin(\epsilon/4)/k\pi.$$

Choose $x_0 \in (\mathrm{M}_k(A))_0$ such that $\|a_0 - b - x_0\| < 2\arcsin(\epsilon/4)/k\pi$. Put $y_0 = a_0 - b - x_0$. Then $\|y_0\| \le 2\arcsin(\epsilon/4)/k\pi$. Put $u_1 = \mathrm{diag}\,(u, 1_{k-1})\exp(-i2\pi y_0)$. Define

$$w(t) = \mathrm{diag}\,(u(t), 1_{k-1})\exp(-i2\pi y_0 t)\prod_{j=1}^{m_1}\exp(-i2\pi p_j t))(\prod_{j=1}^{m_2}\exp(i2\pi q_j t)$$

for $t \in [0,1]$. Then $w(0) = 1$, $w(1) = u(1)\exp(-i2\pi y_0) = u_1$ and moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_\tau^k(w(t)) &= \tau(a) - \tau(y_0) - [\sum_{j=1}^{m_1}\tau(p_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{m_2}\tau(q_j)]\\ &= \tau(a) - \tau(a_0) + \tau(b) - \tau(x_0) - \tau(b)\\ &= \tau(a) - \tau(a_0) = 0, \qquad \forall\, \tau \in T(A).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $w(1) = u_1 \in CU(\mathrm{M}_k(A))$. Then

$$\|\mathrm{diag}\,(u, 1_{k-1}) - u_1\| = \|\exp(i2\pi y_0) - 1_k\| < \epsilon.$$

(3) Let $u \in U_0(A)$ such that $\mathrm{diag}\,(u, 1_{n-1}) \in CU(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$. Let $u(t)$ be a piecewise smooth path with $u(0) = 1$ and $u(1) = u$. Then

$$\Delta^1(u(t)) \in \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))} = \overline{\rho_A^1(K_0(A))}.$$

By part (2), $u \in CU(A)$. This implies that $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$. □

Proposition 3.7. *Let X a compact metric space. Then* $\mathrm{Dur}(\mathrm{M}_n(C(X))) = 1$, $\forall\, n \ge 1$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.3, it suffices to consider the case that $A = C(X)$. One has that

$$\rho_A^1(K_0(A)) = C(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \rho_A(K_0(A)).$$

It follows from part (3) of Theorem 3.6 that $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$. □

Combining Theorem 3.5 with Proposition 3.7, we have

Corollary 3.8. *Let $A = \lim_{n\to\infty}(A_n, \phi_n)$, where $A_m = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m(n)} \mathrm{M}_{k(n,j)}(X_{n,j})$ and each $X_{n,j}$ is a compact metric space. Then* $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$.

Theorem 3.9. *Let A be a unital C^*–algebra with real rank zero. Then $\rho_A^1(K_0(A)) = \rho_A(K_0(A))$ and* $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$.

Proof. By 2.7, we may assume that $T(A) \ne \emptyset$. Since A is of real rank zero, by [27, Theorem 3.3], for any $n \ge 2$ and any non–zero projection $p \in \mathrm{M}_n(A)$ there are projections $p_1, \cdots, p_n \in A$ such that $p \sim \mathrm{diag}\,(p_1, \cdots, p_n)$ in $\mathrm{M}_n(A)$. Thus, $\tau(p) = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\tau(p_j)$, $\forall\, \tau \in T(A)$ and consequently, $\rho_A^1(K_0(A)) = \rho_A(K_0(A))$. It follows from the part (3) of Theorem 3.6 that $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$. □

Theorem 3.10. *Let A be a unital C^*–algebra with $T(A) \ne \emptyset$. If* $\mathrm{csr}\,(C(S^1, A)) \le n+1$ *for some $n \ge 1$, then* $\mathrm{Dur}(A) \le n$.

Proof. Let $u \in U_0(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$ such that $\mathrm{diag}\,(u, 1_k) \in CU(\mathrm{M}_{n+k}(A))$ for some integer $k \geq 1$. Let $\{u(t) : t \in [0,1]\}$ be a piecewise smooth path with $u(0) = 1_n$ and $u(1) = u$. By [20], $\Delta^{n+k}(\mathrm{diag}\,(u(t), 1_k)) \in \overline{\Delta^{n+k}(LU_0^{n+k}(A))}$. It follows from the part (1) of Lemma 3.2 that, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there are a, $b \in \mathrm{M}_n(A)_{s.a.}$ and $v \in CU(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$ with $\|a\| < 2\arcsin(\epsilon/4)/\pi$ such that

$$u = \exp(i2\pi a)\exp(i2\pi b)v \ \text{ and } \ \tau(b) = \Delta_\tau^{n+k}(w(t)) \ \text{ for all } \ \tau \in T(A), \tag{3.17}$$

where $w \in LU_0^{n+k}(A)$. Since $\mathrm{csr}(C(S^1, A)) \leq n+1$, then, by [19, Proposition 2.6], there is $w_1 \in LU_0^n(A)$ such that $\mathrm{diag}\,(w_1, 1_{n+k})$ is homotopy to w. In particular, $\Delta_\tau^n(w_1(t)) = \Delta_\tau^{n+k}(w(t))$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Consider the piecewise smooth path

$$U(t) = \exp(-2\pi at)\exp(i2\pi bt)w_1^*(t), \quad t \in [0,1].$$

Then $U(0) = 1_n$ and $U(1) = \exp(i2\pi b)$. We compute that $\Delta_\tau^n(U(t)) = 0$, $\forall\, \tau \in T(A)$. It follows (by 3.1 of [20]) that $\exp(i2\pi b) \in CU(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$. By (3.17),

$$[u] = [\exp(i2\pi a)] \ \text{ in } \ U_0(\mathrm{M}_n(A))/CU(\mathrm{M}_n(A)),$$

Therefore $\mathrm{dist}(u, CU(\mathrm{M}_n(A))) \leq \|\exp(i2\pi a) - 1_n\| < \epsilon$. □

Corollary 3.11. *Let A be a unital C^*–algebra of stable rank one. Then* $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$.

Proof. This follows from $\mathrm{csr}\,(C(S^1, A)) \leq \mathrm{tsr}\,(A) + 1$ (cf. [18, Corollary 8.6]) and Theorem 3.10. □

We end this section with the following:

Proposition 3.12. *Let A be a unital C^*–algebra. Suppose there is a projection $p \in \mathrm{M}_2(A)$ such that, for any $x \in K_0(A)$ with $\rho_A(x) = \rho_A([p])$, there is no unitary in $U(\tilde{C})$ which represents x, where $C = C_0((0,1), A)$. Then* $\mathrm{Dur}(A) > 1$.

Proof. There is $a \in A_+$ such that $\tau(a) = \rho_A([p])(\tau)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Put $u = \exp(i2\pi a)$ and $v = \mathrm{diag}(u, 1)$. Then it follows from (2) of 3.6 that $v \in CU(\mathrm{M}_2(A))$. This implies that $i_A^{(1,2)}([u]) = 0$. Now we will show that $u \notin CU(A)$. Let

$$w(t) = \exp(2i(1-t)\pi a) \ \text{ for all } \ t \in [0,1].$$

Then $w(0) = u$ and $w(1) = 1_A$. If $u \in CU(A)$, then, by 3.1 of [20], there is a continuous and piecewise smooth path of unitaries $\xi \in \widetilde{C}$, where $C = C_0((0,1), A)$ such that

$$\Delta_\tau(\xi(t)) = \tau(p) \ \text{ for all } \ \tau \in T(A). \tag{3.18}$$

The Bott map shows that the unitary ξ is homotopic to a projection loop which corresponds to some $x \in K_0(A)$ with $\rho_A(x) = \rho_A([p])$, which contradicts with the assumption. □

4. Simple C^*–algebras

Let us begin with the following:

Theorem 4.1. *Let A be a unital infinite dimensional simple C^*–algebra of real rank zero with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Then*

$$\overline{\rho_A^1(K_0(A))} = \mathrm{Aff}(T(A)) \text{ and } U_0(A) = CU(A).$$

Proof. Let $p \in A$ be a non–zero projection, let $\lambda = n/m$ with $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then by Zhang's half theorem (see Lemma 9.4 of [12]), there is a projection $e \in A$ such that $\max_{\tau \in T(A)} |\tau(p) - n\tau(e)| < n\epsilon/m$. Thus, $\max_{\tau \in T(A)} |\lambda\tau(p) - m\tau(e)| < \epsilon$ and consequently, $r\rho_A(p) \in \overline{\rho_A^1(K_0(A))}$, $\forall\, r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $a \in A_{s.a.}$. Since A has real rank zero, a is a limit of the form $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j p_j$, where $p_1, p_2, ..., p_k$ are mutually orthogonal projections in A and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore $\hat{a} \in \overline{\rho_A^1(K_0(A))}$ by the above argument, where $\hat{a}(\tau) = \tau(a)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Since $\mathrm{Aff}(T(A) = \{\hat{a} |\, a \in A_{s.a.}\}$ by [11, Theorem 9.3], it follows from Proposition 3.9 that

$$\mathrm{Aff}(T(A)) \subset \overline{\rho_A^1(K_0(A))} = \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))} \subset \mathrm{Aff}(T(A)),$$

that is, $\mathrm{Aff}(T(A)) = \overline{\rho_A^1(K_0(A))}$.

Note that

$$\rho_A^1(K_0(A)) \subset \Delta^1(LU_0^1(A)) \subset \rho_A(K_0(A)) = \rho_A^1(K_0(A)).$$

So $\overline{\Delta^1(LU_0^1(A))} = \overline{\rho_A^1(K_0(A))} = \mathrm{Aff}(T(A))$. Therefore $\overline{\Delta^1} = 0$ (see Definition 2.11) and the assertion follows. □

For unital simple C^*–algebras, we have the following:

Theorem 4.2. *Let A be a unital infinite dimensional simple C^*–algebra. Then* $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$ *if one of the following holds:*

(1) *A is not stably finite;*
(2) *A has stable rank one;*
(3) *A has real rank zero;*
(4) *A is projectionless and $\rho_A(K_0(A)) = \mathbb{Z}$ (with $\rho_A([1_A]) = 1$);*
(5) *A has* (SP) *and has a unique tracial state.*

Proof. (1) In this case, there is a non–unitary isometry $u \in \mathrm{M}_k(A)$ for some $k \geq 2$. Since $\mathrm{M}_k(A)$ is also simple, every tracial state on $\mathrm{M}_k(A)$ is faithful if $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. This implies that $T(A) = \emptyset$. The assertion follows from Corollary 2.7.

(2) This follows from Corollary 3.11.

(3) This follows from Theorem 4.1 or Proposition 3.9.

(4) By the assumption, we have $\rho_A^1(K_0(A)) = \rho_A(K_0(A)) = \mathbb{Z}$. By Theorem 3.6, $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$.

(5) Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\tau \in T(A)$ be the unique tracial state. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer and $p \in M_k(A)$ be a projection. Since A has (SP), there is a non–zero projection $q \in A$ such that $0 < \tau(q) < \epsilon/2$ (see, for example, [10, Lemma 3.5.7]). Then, there is an integer $m \geq 1$ such that $|m\tau(q) - \tau(p)| < \epsilon$. This implies that $\overline{\rho_A^1(K_0(A))} = \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.6, $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$. □

Theorem 4.2 indicates that the only cases that Dur(A) might not be one for unital simple C^*–algebras are the cases that A is stably finite and has stable rank greater than one. The only examples that we know so far that a unital simple C^*–algebra is stably finite and has finite stable rank greater than one are the examples given by Villadsen ([22]).

However, we have the following:

Theorem 4.3. *For each integer $n \geq 1$, There is a unital simple* AH*–algebras A with* $\mathrm{tsr}\,(A) = n$ *such that* $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$.

Proof. Fix an integer $n > 1$. Let $A = \lim_{k\to\infty}(A_k, \phi_k)$ be the unital simple AH–algebra with $\mathrm{tsr}\,(A) = n$ constructed by Villadsen in [22]. Then $A_1 = C(\mathbb{D}^n)$. The connecting maps ϕ_k are "diagonal" maps. More precisely, $\phi_k(f) = \sum_{j=1}^{n(k)} f(\gamma_{k,j}) \otimes p_{k,j}$ for all $f \in A_k$, where $p_{k,1}$ is a trivial rank one projection, $A_{k+1} = \phi_k(\mathrm{id}_{A_k}) M_{(r(k)}(C(X_k))\phi_k(\mathrm{id}_{A_k})$ (for some large $r(n)$) for some spaces X_k and $\gamma_{k,j} : X_{k+1} \to X_k$ is a continuous map (these are π_{i+1}^1 and some point evaluations as denoted on page 1092 in [22]). Clearly A_1 contains a rank one projection. Suppose that A_k, as a unital hereditary C^*-subalgebra of $M_{r(k)}(C(X_k))$, contains a rank one projection e_k (of $M_{r(k)}(C(X_k))$). Then, since $(\mathrm{id}_{A_k} \circ \gamma_{k,1}) \otimes p_{k,1} \leq \phi_k(\mathrm{id}_{A_k})$, $(\mathrm{id}_{A_k} \circ \gamma_{k,1}) \otimes p_{k,1} \in A_{k+1}$. Then $e_k \circ \gamma_{k,1} \otimes p_{k,1} \in A_{k+1}$ which is a rank one projection.

The above shows every A_k contains a rank one projection.

Now let $p \in \mathrm{M}_m(A)$ be a projection. We may assume that there is a projection $q \in \mathrm{M}_m(A_{k_0+1})$ such that $\phi_{k_0+1,\infty}(q) = p$. Let $e_{k_0} \in A_{k_0+1}$ be a rank one projection. Then there is an integer $L \geq 1$ such that $L\tau(e_{k_0}) = \tau(q)$ for all $\tau \in T(A_{k_0+1})$. It follows that

$$L\tau(\phi_{k_0+1,\infty}(e_{k_0})) = \tau(p) \quad \text{for all} \quad \tau \in T(A).$$

So $\rho_A^1(K_0(A)) = \rho_A(K_0(A))$ and hence $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$ by Theorem 3.6. □

Theorem 4.4. *Let A be a unital simple* AH*–algebra with* (SP) *property. Then* $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.10 (1), it suffices to show that i_A^n is injective and by Theorem 3.6, it suffices to show that $\overline{\rho_A^1(K_0(A))} = \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}$.

Let p be a projection in $\mathrm{M}_n(A)$. Since A is simple, $\inf\{\tau(p) | \, \tau \in T(A)\} = d > 0$. Given positive number $\epsilon < \min\{1/2, d/2\}$. Choose an integer $K \geq 1$ such that $1/K <$

$\epsilon/2$. Since A is a simple unital C^*–algebra with (SP), it follows from [10, Lemma 3.5.7] that there are mutually orthogonal and mutually equivalent non–zero projections $p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_K \in A$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{K} p_j \le p$. We compute that

$$\tau(p_1) < \epsilon/2 \text{ and } \tau(p_1) < d/K \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A). \tag{4.1}$$

Since A is simple and unital, there are $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_N \in A$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j^* p_1 x_j = 1_A$.

Write $A = \varinjlim(A_m, \phi_m)$, where each $A_m = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r(m)} P_{m,j}\mathrm{M}_{R(m,j)}(C(X_{m,j}))P_{n,j}$ and $X_{n,j}$ is a connected finite CW–complex and $P_{m,j} \in \mathrm{M}_{R(m,j)}(C(X_{m,j}))$ is a projection. Without loss of generality, we may assume that, there are projections $p_1' \in A_m$, $p' \in \mathrm{M}_n(A_m)$ and elements $y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_N \in A_m$ such that $\phi_{m,\infty}(p_1') = p_1$, $\phi_{m,\infty}(y_j) = x_j$, $(\phi_{m,\infty} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{M}_n})(p') = p$ and

$$\| \sum_{j=1}^{N} y_j^* p_1' y_j - 1_A \| < 1. \tag{4.2}$$

Write p_1' and p' as

$$p_1' = p_{1,1}' \oplus p_{1,2}' \oplus \cdots p_{1,r(m)}' \text{ and } p' = q_1 \oplus q_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus q_{r(m)},$$

here $p_{1,j}' \in P_{m,j}\mathrm{M}_{R(m,j)}(C(X_{m,j}))P_{m,j}$, $q_j \in \mathrm{M}_n(P_{m,j}\mathrm{M}_{R(m,j)}(C(X_{m,j}))P_{m,j})$, $j = 1, \cdots, r(m)$ are projections. Note that (4.2) implies that $p_{1,j}' \neq 0$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, r(m)$. Define

$$r_{1,j} = \mathrm{rank}(p_{1,j}') \text{ and } r_j = \mathrm{rank}(q_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, r(m).$$

Then $r_j = l_j r_{1,j} + s_j$, where $l_j, s_j \ge 0$ are integers and $s_j < r_{1,j}$. It follows that

$$|t(p') - \sum_{j=1}^{r(m)} l_j t(p_{1,j}')| < t(p_1'), \quad \forall\, t \in T(A_m) \tag{4.3}$$

Define $q_{1,j} = \phi_{m,\infty}(p_{1,j}')$, $j = 1, \cdots, r(m)$. Then each $q_{1,j}$ is projection in A. Note that for each $\tau \in T(A)$, $\tau \circ \phi_{m,\infty}$ is a tracial state on A_m. So by (4.3),

$$|\tau(p) - \sum_{j=1}^{r(m)} l_j \tau(q_{1,j})| < \tau(p_1) < \epsilon, \quad \forall\, \tau \in T(A).$$

This implies that $\overline{\rho_A^1(K_0(A))} = \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}$. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let A be a unital simple C^*–algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$, and let $a \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$. Then, for any $b \in A_{s.a.}$, there is $c \in \mathrm{Her}(a)$ such that $b - c \in A_0$.*

Proof. Since A is simple and unital, there are $x_1, x_2, ..., x_m \in A$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_j^* a x_j = 1_A$. Set $c = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a^{1/2} x_j b x_j^* a^{1/2}$. Then $c \in \mathrm{Her}(a)$ and

$$\tau(c) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau(a^{1/2} x_j b x_j^* a^{1/2}) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau(b x_j^* a x_j) = \tau(b), \quad \forall\, \tau \in T(A).$$

It follows from Lemma 2.6 (2) that $b - c \in A_0$. □

A special case of the following can be found in 3.4 of [13].

Theorem 4.6. *Let A be a unital simple C^*–algebra and let $e \in A$ be a non–zero projection. Consider the map $U_0(eAe)/CU(eAe) \to U_0(A)/CU(A)$ given by $i_e([u]) = [u + (1-e)]$. Then the map is always surjective and is also injective if* $\mathrm{tsr}\,(A) = 1$.

Proof. To see i_e is surjective, let $u \in U_0(A)$. Write $u = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \exp(ia_k)$ for $a_k \in A_{s.a.}$, $k = 1, 2, ..., n$. By Lemma 4.5, there are $b_1, ..., b_n \in eAe$ such that $b_k - a_k \in A_0$. Put $w = e\big(\prod_{k=1}^{n} \exp(ib_k)\big)$. Then $w \in U_0(eAe)$. Set $v = w + (1-e)$. Then $v = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \exp(ib_k)$. Thus, by Lemma 2.6 (1),

$$i_e([w]) = [v] = \sum_{k=1}^{n} [\exp(ib_k)] = \sum_{k=1}^{n} [\exp(ia_k)] = [u] \quad \text{in} \quad U_0(A)/CU(A),$$

that is, i_e is surjective.

To see that i_e is injective when A has stable rank one, let $w \in U_0(eAe)$ such that $w + (1-e) \in CU(A)$. Since A is simple, there are $z_1, \cdots, z_n \in A$ such that $1 - e = \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j^* e z_j$. Put $X = \begin{bmatrix} ez_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ ez_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{M}_n(A)$. Then

$$\mathrm{diag}\,(1-e, \overbrace{0, \cdots, 0}^{n-1}) = X^*X, \quad XX^* \le \mathrm{diag}\,(\overbrace{e, e, \cdots, e}^{n}). \tag{4.4}$$

(4.4) indicates that $[1-e] \le n[e]$ in $K_0(A)$. Since $\mathrm{tsr}\,(A) = 1$, we can find a projection $p \in \mathrm{M}_s(A)$ for some $s \ge n$ and a unitary $U \in \mathrm{M}_{s+1}(A)$ such that

$$\mathrm{diag}\,(\overbrace{e, \cdots, e}^{n}, \overbrace{0, \cdots, 0}^{r}) = U\,\mathrm{diag}(1-e, p)\,U^*, \tag{4.5}$$

where $r = s - n + 1$. Write $v = w + (1-e)$ as $v = \begin{bmatrix} w & \\ & 1-e \end{bmatrix}$ and set

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} e & \\ & U \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q = \mathrm{diag}\,(\overbrace{e, \cdots, e}^{n}, \overbrace{0, \cdots, 0}^{r}).$$

Then $W\,\mathrm{diag}\,(e, 1-e, p)(\mathrm{M}_{s+2}(A))\mathrm{diag}\,(e, 1-e, p)W^* \subset \mathrm{M}_{n+1}(eAe) \oplus 0$ and

$$W \begin{bmatrix} v & \\ & p \end{bmatrix} W^* = \begin{bmatrix} w & \\ & U\,\mathrm{diag}\,(1-e, p)\,U^* \end{bmatrix} = \mathrm{diag}\,(w, Q), \tag{4.6}$$

by (4.5). Note that $\mathrm{diag}\,(v, p) \in CU(\mathrm{diag}\,(e, 1-e, p)(\mathrm{M}_{s+2}(A))\mathrm{diag}\,(e, 1-e, p))$. So by (4.6), $\mathrm{diag}\,(w, \overbrace{e, \cdots, e}^{n}) \in CU(\mathrm{M}_{n+1}(eAe))$. Since $\mathrm{tsr}\,(eAe) = 1$, it follows from Corollary 4.2 (2) that $w \in CU(eAe)$. □

Lemma 4.7. *Let C be a non–unital C^*–algebra and $B = \widetilde{C}$. Assume that $u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_n \in U(\mathrm{M}_k(B))$ for some $k \ge 2$. Then, there are unitaries $u_1', u_2', ..., u_n' \in \mathrm{M}_k(\widetilde{C})$ with $\pi_k(u_j') = 1_k$, $j = 1, \cdots, n$ and $w, z_j, \bar{u}_j \in U(\mathrm{M}_k(\mathbb{C}))$, $j = 1, \cdots, n$ such that*

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} u_j = (\prod_{j=1}^{n} u_j')w, \quad u_j' = z_j^* u_j \bar{u}_j^* z_j, \ \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, n, \text{ and } w = \pi_k(\prod_{j=1}^{n} u_j),$$

where $\pi(x+\lambda)=\lambda$, $\forall\, x\in C$ *and* $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ *and* π_k *is the induced homomorphism of* π *on* $\mathrm{M}_k(B)$.

Moreover, if $u_j\in U_0(\mathrm{M}_k(B))$, *then we may assume, in addition, that each* $u_j'\in U_0(\widetilde{\mathrm{M}_k(C)})$, $j=1,\cdots,n$.

Proof. Put $\bar{u}_j=\pi_k(u_j)\in U(\mathrm{M}_k(\mathbb{C}))$. If $n=2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}u_1u_2&=u_1\bar{u}_1^*(\bar{u}_1u_2\bar{u}_1^*)(\bar{u}_1\bar{u}_2^*\bar{u}_1^*)(\bar{u}_1\bar{u}_2\bar{u}_1^*\bar{u}_1)\\&=u_1\bar{u}_1^*(\bar{u}_1u_2\bar{u}_1^*)(\bar{u}_1\bar{u}_2^*\bar{u}_1^*)(\bar{u}_1\bar{u}_2).\end{aligned}$$

Put $u_1'=u_1\bar{u}_1^*$, $u_2'=\bar{u}_1u_2\bar{u}_1^*\bar{u}_1\bar{u}_2^*\bar{u}_1^*$, $w_1=\bar{u}_1\bar{u}_2$, $z_1=1_k$, $z_2=\bar{u}_1$. Then

$$\pi_k(u_1')=1_k,\ \pi_k(u_2')=\pi_k(\bar{u}_1(u_2\bar{u}_2^*)\bar{u}_1^*)=1_k\ \text{ and }\ w_1=\pi_k(u_1u_2).$$

Thus the lemma holds if $n=2$. Suppose that the lemma holds for s. Then

$$u_1u_2\cdots u_su_{s+1}=(u_1'u_2'\cdots u_s')w_su_{s+1},$$

where $u_j'\in\mathrm{M}_k(\widetilde{C})$ are unitaries with $\pi_k(u_j')=1_k$, $u_j'=z_j^*u_j\bar{u}_j^*z_j$, where $z_j,\bar{u}_j\in U(\mathrm{M}_k(\mathbb{C}))$, $j=1,\cdots,s$ and $w_s=\pi_k(\prod_{j=1}^{s}u_j)$. It follows that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{s+1}u_j=(\prod_{j=1}^{s}u_j')w_su_{s+1}w_s^*(w_s\bar{u}_{s+1}^*w_s^*)(w_s\bar{u}_{s+1}).$$

Put $u_{s+1}'=w_su_{s+1}w_s^*(w_s\bar{u}_{s+1}^*w_s^*)=w_s(u_{s+1}\bar{u}_{s+1}^*)w_s^*$, $z_{s+1}=w_s^*$ and $w_{s+1}=w_s\bar{u}_{s+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\pi_s(u_{s+1}')=\pi_k(w_s)\pi(u_{s+1}\bar{u}_{s+1}^*)\pi_k(w_s^*)=1_k\ \text{ and}\\&w_{s+1}=w_s\bar{u}_{s+1}=\pi_k((\prod_{j=1}^{s}u_j)u_{s+1})=\pi_k(\prod_{j=1}^{s+1}u_j).\end{aligned}$$

The first part of the lemma follows.

To see the second part, we first assume that $u_j=\exp(ia_j)$ for some $a_j\in(\mathrm{M}_k(B))_{s.a.}$. Note that $\bar{u}_j=\exp(i\bar{a}_j)$, where $\bar{a}_j=\pi_k(a_j)\in(\mathrm{M}_k(\mathbb{C}))_{s.a.}$, $j=1,\cdots,n$. Consider the path $u_j'(t)=\exp(ita_j)\exp(-it\bar{a}_j)$ for $t\in[0,1]$. Note that, for each $t\in[0,1]$,

$$\pi_k(\exp(ita_j)\exp(-it\bar{a}_j))=\exp(it\pi_k(a_j))\exp(-it\pi_k(a_j))=1_k,\ j=1,\cdots,n.$$

It follows that $u_j'(t)\in\widetilde{\mathrm{M}_k(\mathbb{C})}$ for all $t\in[0,1]$. The case that $u_j=\exp\big(\prod_{k=1}^{m_j}(ia_k)\big)$, $j=1,\cdots,n$ follows from this and what bas been proved. □

Lemma 4.8. *Let* C *be a non–unital* C^**–algebra and* $B=\tilde{C}$. *Suppose that* $z=aba^*b^*$, *where* $a,b\in U_0(\mathrm{M}_k(B))$. *Then* $z=yw$, *where* $y\in CU(\widetilde{\mathrm{M}_k(C)})$ *with* $\pi_k(y)=1_k$ *and* $w\in CU(\mathrm{M}_k(\mathbb{C}))$. *Moreover, if* $u=\prod_{j=1}^{n}z_j$, *where each* $z_j\in CU(\mathrm{M}_k(B))$, *then* $u=yv$, *where* $y\in CU(\widetilde{\mathrm{M}_k(C)})$ *with* $\pi_k(y)=1_k$ *and* $v\in CU(\mathrm{M}_k(\mathbb{C}))$.

Proof. Let $\bar{a} = \pi_k(a)$ and $\bar{b} = \pi_k(b)$. Then $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in U(\mathrm{M}_k(\mathbb{C}))$. It follows from Lemma 4.7 that there are $a_j, b_j \in U_0(\widetilde{\mathrm{M}_k(\mathbb{C})})$ with $\pi_k(a_j) = \pi_k(b_j) = 1_k$ and $z_j \in U(\mathrm{M}_k(\mathbb{C}))$, $j = 1, 2$ such that

$$ab = a_1 b_1 w_1, \quad a_1 = a\bar{a}^*, \quad b_1 = z_1^* b\bar{b}^* z_1, \quad w_1 = \bar{a}\bar{b}, \tag{4.7}$$

$$ba = b_2 a_2 w_2, \quad b_2 = b\bar{b}^*, \quad a_2 = z_2^* a\bar{a}^* z_2, \quad w_2 = \bar{b}\bar{a}. \tag{4.8}$$

Set $x_1 = w_1 w_2^* z_2^*$ and $x_2 = w_1 w_2^* z_1$. Then $x_1, x_2 \in U_0(\mathrm{M}_k(\mathbb{C}))$ and

$$\begin{aligned} aba^*b^* &= a_1 b_1 (w_1 w_2^* z_2^* (a\bar{a}^*) z_2 w_2 w_1^*)(w_1 w_2^* (b\bar{b}^*) w_2 w_1^*)) w_1 w_2^* \\ &= a_1 b_1 (x_1 a_1^* x_1^*)(x_2^* b_1^* x_2) w_1 w_2^* \end{aligned}$$

by (4.7) and (4.8).

Write $a_1 = \prod_{j=1}^{m_1} \exp(iy_{1j})$ and $b_1 = \prod_{k=1}^{m_2} \exp(iy_{2k})$, where $y_{1j}, y_{2k} \in (\mathrm{M}_k(C))_{s.a.}$, $j = 1, \cdots, m_1$, $k = 1, \cdots, m_2$. Let $y_{1j} = y_{1j}^+ - y_{1j}^-$ and $y_{2k} = y_{2k}^+ - y_{2k}^-$ with $y_{1j}^+, y_{1j}^-, y_{2k}^+, y_{2k}^- \in (\mathrm{M}_k(C))_+$ for $j = 1, \cdots, m_1$ and $k = 1, \cdots, m_2$. Set

$$c_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} (y_{1j}^+ + x_1 y_{1j}^- x_1^*) + \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} (y_{2k}^+ + x_2 y_{2k}^- x_2^*), \quad d_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} (y_{1j}^+ + y_{1j}^-) + \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} (y_{2k}^+ + y_{2k}^-)$$

$$c_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} (y_{1j}^- + x_1 y_{1j}^+ x_1^*) + \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} (y_{2k}^- + x_2 y_{2k}^+ x_2^*), \quad d_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} (y_{1j}^- + y_{1j}^+) + \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} (y_{2k}^- + y_{2k}^+).$$

Then $c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2 \in (\mathrm{M}_2(C))_+$ and clearly, $c_1 - d_1, c_2 - d_2 \in (\mathrm{M}_k(C))_0$. Therefore, $(c_1 - c_2) - (d_1 - d_2) \in (\mathrm{M}_k(C))_0$. Put $y = a_1 b_1 (x_1 a_1^* x_1^*)(x_2^* b_1^* x_2)$ and $w = w_1 w_2^*$. Then $y \in U_0(\widetilde{\mathrm{M}_k(C)})$ with $\pi_k(y) = 1_k$ and $w = \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{a}^*\bar{b}^* \in DU_k(\mathbb{C})$. Moreover, in $U_0(\widetilde{\mathrm{M}_k(C)})/CU(\widetilde{\mathrm{M}_k(C)})$,

$$[y] = [\exp(i(c_1 - c_2))] = [\exp(i(d_1 - d_2))] = [a_1][b_1][a_1^*][b_1^*] = 0.$$

This proves the first part of the lemma. The second part of the lemma follows. □

Theorem 4.9. *Let A be an infinite dimensional unital simple C^*–algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$ such that, there is $m \geq 1$, for every hereditary C^*–subalgebra C, $\mathrm{Dur}(\tilde{C}) \leq m$. Then $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 1$.*

Proof. Let $n \geq 1$. By Proposition 3.1, it suffices to show that $i_A^{(1,n)}$ is injective. Let $u \in U_0(A)$ with $\mathrm{diag}\,(u, 1_{n-1}) \in CU(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$. Since A is simple and infinite dimensional, we can find non–zero mutually orthogonal positive elements $c_1, ..., c_m \in A$ and $x_1, ..., x_m \in A$ such that

$$x_j^* x_j = c_1 \text{ and } x_j x_j^* = c_j, \quad j = 2, 3, ..., m.$$

Put $\mathrm{Her}(c_1) = C$ and $B = \widetilde{C}$. Then $\mathrm{Her}(c_1 + c_2 + \cdots + c_m) \cong \mathrm{M}_m(C)$. Note that $\mathrm{M}_m(B)$ is not isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\mathrm{M}_m(A)$.

By Lemma 4.5, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $u = \exp(2\pi i b)$ for some $b \in C_{s.a.}$. Then by Theorem 3.6 (1), $\hat{b} \in \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}$.

Since A is simple and C is σ–unital, it follows from [2, Theorem 2.8] that there is a unitary element W in $M(A \otimes \mathcal{K})$ (the multiplier algebra of $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$)) such that

$W^*(C\otimes\mathcal{K})W = A\otimes\mathcal{K}$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is the C^*–algebra consisting of all compact operators on l^2. Note since A is a unital simple C^*–algebra, every tracial state τ on C is the normalization of a tracial state restricted on C. Therefore

$$\hat{b}\in\overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))} = \overline{\rho_B(K_0(C))}\subset\overline{\rho_B(K_0(B))}. \tag{4.9}$$

Viewing $b\in B_{s.a}$, consider $v=\exp(i2\pi b)\in U_0(B)$ and $v(t)=\exp(i2\pi tb)$, $t\in[0,1]$. Then (4.9) implies that $\Delta^1(v(t))\in\overline{\rho_B(K_0(B))}$. By Lemma 3.2 (2), for any $\epsilon>0$, there are $a\in B_{s.a.}$ with $\|a\|<\epsilon$, $d\in B_{s.a.}$ with $\hat{d}\in\rho_B(K_0(B))$ and $v_0\in CU(B)$ such that

$$v=\exp(i2\pi a)\exp(i2\pi d)v_0. \tag{4.10}$$

Choose projections $p,q\in\mathrm{M}_n(B)$ for some $n>m$ such that $\tau(\mathrm{diag}\,(d,0_{(n-1)\times(n-1)})) = \tau(p)-\tau(q)$, $\forall\,\tau\in T(B)$. Thus, $\mathrm{diag}\,(\exp(i2\pi d),1_{n-1})\in CU(\mathrm{M}_n(B))$ by Lemma 2.6 (2). By the assumption, $i_B^{(m,k)}$ is injective for all $k>m$. Therefore, we have $\mathrm{diag}\,(v,1_{m-1})\in CU(\mathrm{M}_m(B))$ by (4.10).

Let $\epsilon>0$. Then there is a $v_1\in DU(\mathrm{M}_m(B))$, such that $\|\mathrm{diag}\,(v,1_{m-1})-v_1\|<\epsilon/2$. We may write that $v_1=\prod_{j=1}^{r}z_j$, where $z_j\in\mathrm{M}_m(B)$ is a commutator. It follows from Lemma 4.8 that there are $y\in CU(\widetilde{\mathrm{M}_m(C)})$ with $\pi_m(y)=1_m$ and $w\in DU(\mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C}))$ such that $v_1=yw$. Noting that $w=\pi_m(w)=\pi_m(v_1)$ and $\pi(v)=1$, we have $\|1_m-w\|<\epsilon/2$. Thus $\|\mathrm{diag}\,(v,1_{m-1})-y\|<\epsilon$. Set $v_0=v-1$ and $y_0=y-1_m$. Then

$$\mathrm{diag}\,(v_0,0_{(m-1)\times(m-1)}), y_0\in\mathrm{M}_m(C)\text{ and }\|\mathrm{diag}\,(v_0,0_{(m-1)\times(m-1)})-y_0\|<\epsilon. \tag{4.11}$$

By identifying $1_m+\mathrm{M}_m(C)$ with a unital C^*–subalgebra $1_A+\mathrm{Her}(c_1+c_2+\cdots+c_m)$ of A, we get that $\|\exp(i2\pi b)-y\|<\epsilon$ by (4.11). Since $y\in CU(\widetilde{\mathrm{M}_m(C)})\subset CU(A)$ and hence $u\in CU(A)$, that is, $\mathrm{Dur}(A)=1$. □

Corollary 4.10. *Let A be a unital simple C^*–algebra. Suppose that, there is an integer $K\geq 1$ such that $\mathrm{csr}(C(S^1,C))\leq K$ for every hereditary C^*–subalgebra C. Then $\mathrm{Dur}(A)=1$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.10 that $\mathrm{Dur}(\tilde{C})\leq\max\{K-1,1\}$. Then Theorem 4.9 applies. □

Definition 4.11. Let A be a C^*–algebra with $T(A)\neq\emptyset$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}D(\rho_A^1(K_0(A)),\rho_A(K_0(A))) &= \sup\{\mathrm{dist}(x,\rho_A^1(K_0(A)))|\,x\in\overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}\}\\ &= \sup\{\mathrm{dist}(x,\rho_A^1(K_0(A)))|\,x\in\rho_A(K_0(A))\}.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.12. *Let A be a unital simple C^*–algebra with $T(A)\neq\emptyset$ such that there is $M>0$ such that $D(\rho_C^1(K_0(C)),\rho_C(K_0(C)))<M$ for all non-zero hereditary C^*–subalgebra C of A. Then $\mathrm{Dur}(A)=1$.*

Proof. Let $u\in U_0(A)$ such that $\mathrm{diag}\,(u,1_{n-1})\in CU(\mathrm{M}_n(A))$. By Corollary 2.12, we may assume that $u=\exp(i2\pi a)$ for some $a\in A_{s.a.}$. Then $\hat{a}\in\overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}$ by Theorem 3.6 (1).

Given $\epsilon > 0$. Choose an integer $N \geq 1$ such that $M/N < \epsilon/2\pi$. There are mutually orthogonal non–zero positive elements $c_1, c_2, ..., c_N$ in A and elements $x_1, x_2, ..., x_N \in A$ such that

$$x_j^* x_j = c_1 \text{ and } x_j x_j^* = c_j, \ \ j = 2, 3, ..., N. \tag{4.12}$$

Let $C = \text{Her}(c_1)$ and $B = \widetilde{C}$. It follows from 4.5 that there is $b \in C_{s.a.}$ such that $a - b$ in A_0, i.e., $\tau(a) = \tau(b)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Therefore $[\exp(i2\pi a)] = [\exp(i2\pi b)]$ in $U_0(A)/CU(A)$ by Lemma 2.6 (2).

Since A is a unital simple C^*–algebra and C is σ–unital, it follows from the proof of Theorem 4.9 that $\rho_C(b) \in \overline{\rho_C(K_0(C))}$. Therefore, by the assumption, there are projections $p_1, p_2, ..., p_{k_1}, q_1, q_2, ..., q_{k_2} \in C$ such that

$$\sup_{\tau \in T(C)} |\tau(b) - \big(\sum_{i=1}^{k_1} \tau(p_i) - \sum_{j=1}^{k_2} \tau(q_j)\big)| < M.$$

Put $d = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{k_1} p_i - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{k_2} q_j$ and $f = b - d$. Then $\exp(i2\pi d) \in CU(A)$ by (2.3) and $[\exp(i2\pi f)] = [\exp(i2\pi b]$ in $U_0(A)/CU(A)$. Moreover, from

$$\inf\{\|f - x\| \, | \, x \in C_0\} = \sup\{|\tau(f)| \, | \, \tau \in T(C)\} < M$$

(see the proof of 3.1 of [20]), there is $f_0 \in C_0$ and $f_1 \in C_{s.a.}$ with $\|f_1\| < M$ such that $f = f_1 + f_0$. By Lemma 2.6 (1), $\exp(i2\pi f_0) \in CU(A)$. Since $f_1 \in C_{s.a.}$, by (4.12), there are $g_i \in \text{Her}(c_i)$ with

$$\|g_i\| \leq \|f_1\|/N \text{ and } \tau(g_i) = \tau(f_1/N) \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A), \tag{4.13}$$

$i = 1, 2, ..., N$. Put $g = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} g_i \in A$. Then, by (4.13),

$$\|\exp(i2\pi g) - 1_A\| < M/N < \epsilon \text{ and } \overline{\Delta^1}(\exp(i2\pi f)\exp(-i2\pi g)) = 0. \tag{4.14}$$

So $\exp(i2\pi f)\exp(-i2\pi g) \in CU(A)$ and consequently, $\text{dist}(e^{i2\pi a}, CU(A)) < \epsilon$. □

Bruce Blackadar in [1] constructed three examples of unital simple separable nuclear C^*–algebras A, $A_\triangle$, A_H, with no non–trivial projections. By 4.9 of [1], $K_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}$ and with a unique tracial state. It follows from (4) of Corollary 4.2 that $\text{Dur}(A) = 1$. We turn to his examples $A_\triangle$ and A_H which may have rich tracial spaces. it should be also noted, $\text{M}_2(A_\triangle)$ has a projection p with $\tau(p) = 1/2$ for all $\tau \in T(A_\triangle)$. In particular, this implies that

$$\overline{\rho^1_{A_\triangle}(K_0(A_\triangle))} \neq \rho_{A_\triangle}(K_0(A)).$$

However, $\text{Dur}(A_\triangle) = 1$ as shown below. It follows that there is a unitary $u \in \tilde{C}$, where $C = C_0((0,1), A)$, which represents a projection q with $\tau(q) = 1/2$ for all $\tau \in T(A_\triangle)$.

Proposition 4.13. *Let B be a unital AF–algebra and σ be an automorphism on B. Put $M_\sigma = \{f \in C([0,1], B) \, | \, f(1) = \sigma(f(0))\}$. Then* $\text{Dur}(M_\sigma) = 1$.

Proof. Clearly, $T(M_\sigma) \neq \emptyset$. From the exact sequence of C^*–algebras

$$0 \longrightarrow C_0((0,1), B) \longrightarrow M_\sigma \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0,$$

we obtain the exact sequence of C^*–algebras as follows:

$$0 \longrightarrow C_0((0,1) \times S^1, B) \longrightarrow C(S^1, M_\alpha) \longrightarrow C(S^1, B) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{4.15}$$

Since B is an AF–algebra, it follows from [17, Corollary 2.11] that

$$\operatorname{csr}(C(S^1, B)) = \operatorname{csr}(C(S^1)) = 2, \quad \operatorname{csr}(C_0((0,1) \times S^1, B)) = \operatorname{csr}(C_0((0,1) \times S^1)) = 2$$

and consequently, applying [15, Lemma 2] to (4.15), we get that

$$\operatorname{csr}(C(S^1, M_\sigma)) \leq \max\{\operatorname{csr}(C(S^1, B)), \operatorname{csr}(C_0((0,1) \times S^1, B))\} \leq 2.$$

Therefore $\operatorname{Dur}(A) = 1$ by Theorem 3.10. □

Corollary 4.14. $\operatorname{Dur}(A_\triangle) = 1$ *and* $\operatorname{Dur}(A_H) = 1$.

Proof. Both C^*–algebras are of the form $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n$, where each $A_n \cong M_\sigma$, where M_σ is as in Corollary 4.13. As in Corollary 4.13, $\operatorname{Dur}(A_n) = 1$. By Theorem 3.5, $\operatorname{Dur}(A_\triangle) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Dur}(A_H) = 1$. □

5. C^*–algebras with $\operatorname{Dur}(A)>1$

In this section, we will present a unital C^*–algebra C such that $\operatorname{Dur}(C) = 2$. In particular, we will show that there are C^*–algebras which satisfy the condition described in 3.12.

5.1. We first list some standard facts from elementary topology. We will give a brief proof for each fact for the reader's convenience.

Fact 1: Let

$$B_d(0) = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2} \leq d\}.$$

Let $f : B_d(0) \times S^1 \to S^3 = SU(2)$ be a continuous map which is not surjective. Then there is a homotopy

$$F : B_d(0) \times S^1 \times [0,1] \to S^3 = SU(2)$$

such that $F(x, e^{i\theta}, 0) = f(x, e^{i\theta})$, $F(x, e^{i\theta}, s) = f(x, e^{i\theta})$ if $\|x\| = d$ (in other words $x \in \partial B_d(0)$) and $g(x, e^{i\theta}) = F(x, e^{i\theta}, 1)$ satisfies

$$g(0, e^{i\theta}) = F(0, e^{i\theta}, 1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in SU(2) = S^3.$$

Proof. Assume f misses a point $z \in S^3 = SU(2)$ and that $z \neq \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in SU(2)$. Then $S^3 \setminus \{z\}$ is homeomorphic to $D^3 = \{(x, y, z) \mid x^2 + y^2 + z^2 < 1\}$ with the identity matrix mapping to $(0, 0, 0)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that f is a map from $B_d(0) \times S^1$ to D^3. Let $F : B_d(0) \times S^1 \times [0,1] \to D^3$ be defined by

$$F(x, e^{i\theta}, s) = f(x, e^{i\theta}) \max\{1 - s, \|x\|/d\},$$

which satisfies the condition. □

Fact 2: Let $f, g : S^4 \times S^1 \to SU(n) \subset U(n)$ (where $n \geq 2$) be continuous maps. If f is homotopic to g in $U(n)$, then they are homotopic in $SU(n)$ also.

This follows from the fact that there is a continuous map $\pi : U(n) \to SU(n)$ with $\pi \circ \imath = \mathrm{id}|_{SU(n)}$, where $\imath : SU(n) \to U(n)$ is the inclusion.

Fact 3: Let $\xi \in S^4$ be the North pole. Suppose that $f, g : S^4 \times S^1 \to SU(n)$ are two continuous maps such that

$$f(\xi, e^{i\theta}) = 1_n = g(\xi, e^{i\theta})$$

for all $e^{i\theta} \in S^1$. If f and g are homotopic in $SU(n)$, then there is a homotopy

$$F : S^4 \times S^1 \times [0,1] \to SU(n)$$

such that $F(x, e^{i\theta}, 0) = f(x, e^{i\theta})$, $F(x, e^{i\theta}, 1) = g(x, e^{i\theta})$ for all $x \in S^4$, $e^{i\theta} \in S^1$ and $F(\xi, e^{i\theta}, t) = 1_n$ for all $e^{i\theta} \in S^1$.

Proof. Let $G : S^4 \times S^1 \times [0,1] \to SU(n)$ be a homotopy between f and g. That is $G(\cdot, \cdot, 0) = f$ and $G(\cdot, \cdot, 1) = g$. Let $F : S^4 \times S^1 \times [0,1] \to SU(n)$ be defined by

$$F(x, e^{i\theta}, t) = G(x, e^{i\theta}, t)(G(\xi, e^{i\theta}, t))^*.$$

Then F satisfies the condition. □

5.2. We will describe the projection $P \in \mathrm{M}_4(C(S^4))$ of rank 2, which represents the class of $(2,1) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong K_0(C(S^4))$ as follows: one can regard S^4 as the quotient space $D^4/\partial D^4$, where

$$D^4 = \{(z,w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \,|\, |z|^2 + |w|^2 \leq 1\}.$$

It is standard to construct a unitary

$$\alpha : D^4 \to U_4(\mathbb{C}) = U(\mathrm{M}_4(\mathbb{C}))$$

such that $\alpha(0) = 1_4$ and for any $(z,w) \in \partial D^4$ (that is $|z|^2 + |w|^2 = 1$)

$$\alpha(z,w) \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} z & w & 0 & 0 \\ -\bar{w} & \bar{z} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{z} & -w \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{w} & z \end{bmatrix} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} \beta(z,w) & 0 \\ 0 & \beta(z,w)^* \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\beta(z,w) = \begin{bmatrix} z & w \\ -\bar{w} & \bar{z} \end{bmatrix}$ for $(z,w) \in \partial D^4 = S^3$, represented the generator of $K_1(C(S^3))$. $P : S^4 \to U_4(\mathbb{C})$ is defined by

$$P(z,w) \triangleq \alpha(z,w) \begin{bmatrix} 1_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix} \alpha^*(z,w)$$

Note that α is not defined as a function from $S^4 = D^4/\partial D^4$ to $U(4)$, but P is so defined, since

$$P(z,w) = \begin{bmatrix} 1_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \forall\, (z,w) \in \partial D^4$$

and ∂D^4 is identified with the North pole $\xi \in S^4$. Hence $P(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 1_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix}$.

5.3. For a compact metric space X with a given base point and a C^*algebra A, in the rest of the paper, denoted by $C_0(X, A)$ ($C_0(X, \mathbb{C})$ will be simplified as $C_0(X)$), we mean the C^* algebra of the continuous function from X to A which vanishes at the base point. (Most spaces we used here have obvious base point, which we will not mention afterward.) Let $A = C_0(S^1, P\mathrm{M}_4C(S^4)P)$. Let $\tilde{A}$ be the unitization of A. Let $B = C_0(S^1, C(S^4))$. Since A is a corner of $\mathrm{M}_4(B)$ and B is a corner of $\mathrm{M}_2(A)$ (note a trivial projection of rank 1 is equivalent to a sub projection of $P \oplus P$), A is stably isomorphic to B. Let $\tilde{B}$ be a unitization of B. Then $\tilde{B} = C(S^4 \times S^1)$ and

$$K_1(\tilde{A}) \cong K_1(A) \cong K_1(B) \cong K_1(\tilde{B}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}.$$

5.4. For any unitary $u \in M_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))$, in the identification of $[u] \in K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1))$ with $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, the first component corresponding to the winding number of

$$S^1 \hookrightarrow S^4 \times S^1 \xrightarrow{\det u} S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$$

that is, the winding number of the map

$$e^{i\theta} \to \text{determinant } u(\xi, e^{i\theta}),$$

where ξ is the North pole of S^4. Hence if $u : S^4 \times S^1 \to SU(n)$, then the first component of $[u] \in K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is automatically zero.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $u : S^4 \times S^1 \to SU(2)$. Then $u \in \mathrm{M}_2(C(S^4 \times S^1))$ represents the zero element in $K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1))$. In other words, if $u \in SU_n(S^4 \times S^1)$ represents a non-zero element in K–theory, then $n \geq 3$.*

Proof. Let $f : S^4 \times S^1 \to S^5$ be the standard quotient map by identifying $\{\xi\} \times S^1 \cup S^4 \times \{1\}$ into a single point. Consider $u : S^4 \times S^1 \to SU(2)$. Without loss of generality, assume $u(\xi, 1) = 1_2 \in SU(2)$. Then $u|_{S^4 \times \{1\}} : S^4 \to SU(2) = S^3$ represents an element in $\pi_4(S^3) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $u^2|_{S^4 \times \{1\}} : S^4 \to SU(2) = S^3$ is homotopically trivial, with $(\xi, 1) \in S^4 \times S^1$ as a fixed point. Evidently, $u^2|_{\{\xi\} \times S^1} : S^1 \to S^3 = SU(2)$ is homotopically trivial with $(\xi, 1) \in S^4 \times S^1$ as a fixed point. Consequently

$$u^2|_{S^4 \times \{1\} \cup \{\xi\} \times S^1} : S^4 \times \{1\} \cup \{\xi\} \times S^1 \to S^3$$

is homotopically trivial with $(\xi, 1) \in S^4 \times S^1$ as a fixed base point. There is a homotopy

$$F : (S^4 \times \{1\} \cup \{\xi\} \times S^1) \times [0, 1] \to S^3$$

with $F(\bullet\ , 0) = u^2|_{S^4 \times \{1\} \cup \{\xi\} \times S^1}$ and

$$F(x, 1) = 1_2 \quad \forall x \in S^4 \times \{1\} \cup \{\xi\} \times S^1.$$

The following is a well–known easy fact:

For any relative CW complex (X, Y) ($Y \subset X$), any continuous map from $Y \times I \cup X \times \{0\} \to Z$ (where Z is any other CW complex) can be extended to a continuous map $X \times I \to Z$.

Hence, there is a homotopy $G : (S^4 \times S^1) \times [0,1] \to S^3$ with $G(\bullet\ , 0) = u^2$, and $G|_{S^4\times\{1\}\cup\{\xi\}\times S^1\times[0,1]} = F$. Let $v : S^4 \times S^1 \to SU(2)$ be defined by $v(x) = G(x, 1)$, then $[v] = [u^2] \in K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1))$ and v maps $S^4 \times \{1\} \cup \{\xi\} \times S^1$ to $1_2 \in SU(2)$. Consequently, v passes to a map

$$v_1 : S^5 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} S^4 \times S^1/S^4 \times \{1\} \cup \{\xi\} \times S^1 \to S^3 = SU(2)$$

and represents an element in $\pi_5(S^3) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $v_1^2 : S^5 \to S^3$ is a homotopically trivial and therefore v^2 is homotopically trivial. So we have

$$4[u] = 2[u^2] = 2[v] = [v^2] = 0 \in K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1))$$

which implies $[u] = 0 \in K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1))$. □

Remark 5.6. In the proof of 5.5, we in fact proved the following fact: For any $u : S^4 \times S^1 \to SU(2)$, the map $u^4 : S^4 \times S^1 \to SU(2)$ is homotopically trivial.

5.7. Note that $P \in \mathrm{M}_4(C(S^4))$ can be regarded as a projection in $\mathrm{M}_4(C(S^4\times S^1))$, still denote by P, i.e., for fixed $x \in S^4$, $P(x, \cdot)$ is a constant projection along the direction S^1. Then

$$K_1(A) \cong K_1(\tilde{A}) \cong K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1)) \cong K_1(P\mathrm{M}_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P), \tag{5.16}$$

where $A = C_0(S^1, P\mathrm{M}_4(C(S^4))P)$ is defined in 5.2. Let

$$E = \{(\zeta, u) : \zeta \in S^4 \times S^1, u \in \mathrm{M}_4(\mathbb{C}) \text{ with } P(x)uP(x) = u \text{ and } u^*u = uu^* = P(x)\}$$
$$\text{and } SE = \{(\zeta, u) \in E : \det(P(x)uP(x) + (1 - P(x)) = 1\}.$$

Then $E \to S^4 \times S^1$ (and $SE \to S^4 \times S^1$, respectively) is a fiber bundle with the fiber being $U(2)$ (or $SU(2)$, respectively). Also the unitaries in $P\mathrm{M}_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P$ is one to one corresponding to the cross sections of bundle $E \to S^4 \times S^1$. For this reason, we will call a cross section of bundle $SE \to S^4 \times S^1$ a unitary (of $P\mathrm{M}_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P$) with determinant one everywhere.

Theorem 5.8. *If $u \in P\mathrm{M}_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P$ has determinant one everywhere, that is u is a cross section of $SE \to S^4 \times S^1$, then $[u] = 0$ in $K_1(P\mathrm{M}_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P)$.*

Proof. Note that $SE \to S^4 \times S^1$ is smooth fiber bundle over the smooth manifold $S^4 \times S^1$. By a standard result in differential topology, u is homotopic to a C^∞-section. Without loss of generality, we may assume that u itself is smooth. Identify the North pole $\xi \in S^4$ with $0 \in \mathbb{R}^4$ and a neighborhood of ξ with $B_\epsilon(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ for $\epsilon > 0$. Since $B_\epsilon(0)$ is contractible, $SE|_{B_\epsilon(0)\times S^1}$ is a trivial bundle. Note that the projection $P \in \mathrm{M}_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))$ is constant along S^1, hence $SE \cong SE|_{S^4\times\{1\}} \times S^1$ and $SE|_{B_\epsilon(0)\times S^1} \cong SE|_{B_\epsilon(0)\times\{1\}} \times S^1$, in other words, the fiber is constant along S^1 and $SE|_{B_\epsilon(0)\times\{1\}}$ is trivial and isomorphic to $(B_\epsilon(0)\times\{1\})\times SU(2)$.) There is a smooth bundle isomorphism

$$\gamma : SE|_{B_\epsilon(0)\times S^1} \to (B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1) \times SU(2). \tag{5.17}$$

Then

$$\gamma \circ u|_{B_\epsilon(0)\times S^1} : B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1 \to (B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1) \times SU(2)$$

is smooth map with

$$\pi_1 \circ (\gamma \circ u)|_{B_\epsilon(0)\times S^1} = \mathrm{id}_{B_\epsilon(0)\times S^1},$$

where $\pi_1 : (B_\epsilon(0)\times S^1)\times SU(2) \to B_\epsilon(0)\times S^1$ is the projection onto the first coordinate. Denote $\phi = \pi_2 \circ (\gamma \circ u|_{B_\epsilon(0)\times S^1})$, where $\pi_2 : (B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1) \times SU(2) \to SU(2)$ is the projection onto the second coordinate. Since ϕ is smooth, $\phi|_{\{\xi\}\times S^1}$ is not onto $SU(2)$ (note $\dim(SU(2)) = 3$ and $\dim(S^1) = 1$, so it cannot be onto). Therefore, if ϵ is small enough, $\phi|_{B_\epsilon(0)\times S^1}$ is not onto. By Fact 1 of 5.1, ϕ is homotopic to a constant map $\phi_1 : B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1 \to SU(2)$ with

$$\phi_1(\{\xi\} \times S^1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \phi|_{\partial B_\epsilon(0)\times S^1} = \phi_1|_{\partial B_\epsilon(0)\times S^1} \tag{5.18}$$

via a homotopy $F : (B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1) \times [0,1] \to SU(2)$ with $F(x, e^{i\theta}, t)$ is constant with respect to t if $x \in \partial B_\epsilon(0)$.

Let $u_1 : B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1 \to SE$ be the cross section defined by

$$u_1(x, e^{i\theta}) = \gamma^{-1}(((x, e^{i\theta})), \phi_1(x, e^{i\theta})) \in SE.$$

Then $u_1(x, e^{i\theta}) = u(x, e^{i\theta})$ if $x \in \partial B_\epsilon(0)$. We can extend u_1 to $S^4 \times S^1$ by defining

$$u_1(x, e^{i\theta}) = u(x, e^{i\theta}) \quad \text{if } (x, e^{i\theta}) \notin B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1.$$

Hence u_1 is a section of SE with

$$u_1(\xi, e^{i\theta}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix} = P(\xi), \quad \text{for all } e^{i\theta} \in S^1.$$

Furthermore u_1 is homotopic to u by a homotopy which is constant homotopy on $(S^4 \setminus B_\epsilon(0)) \times S^1$ (on which $u_1 = u$) and agrees with F on $B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1$. Hence $[u] = [u_1] \in K_1(PM_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P)$. Recall S^4 is obtained from $D^4 = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \,|\, |z|^2 + |w|^2 \le 1\}$ by identifying $\partial D^4 = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \,|\, |z|^2 + |w|^2 = 1\}$ with the North pole $\xi \in S^4$. Recall $P \in M_4(C(S^4))$ (regarded as in $M_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))$ which is a constant along the direction of S^1) is defined as

$$P(z, w) = \alpha(z, w) \begin{bmatrix} 1_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix} \alpha^*(z, w),$$

where $\alpha(z, w)$ is defined as in 5.2.

Define

$$v(z, w, e^{i\theta}) = \alpha^*(z, w) u_1(z, w, e^{i\theta}) \alpha(z, w).$$

Then we have the following property

(i) $$v(z, w, e^{i\theta}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for all } (z, w) \in \partial D^4$$

and therefore v can be regarded as a map from $S^4 \times S^1$ to $\mathrm{M}_4(\mathbb{C})$. Moreover,

(ii) $$v(z, w, e^{i\theta}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix} v(z, w, e^{i\theta}) \begin{bmatrix} 1_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ for all } (z, w, e^{i\theta}) \in S^4 \times S^1.$$

By considering the upper left corner of v (still denoted by v), we obtain a unitary $v : S^4 \times S^1 \to SU(2)$. By 5.5 and 5.6, v^4 is homotopically trivial. Furthermore, by Fact 3 of 5.1, there is a homotopy $F : S^4 \times S^1 \times [0,1] \to SU(2)$ such that

$$\text{(iii)} \quad F(z,w,e^{i\theta},0) = v^4(z,w,e^{i\theta}) \text{ for all } (z,w) \in S^4 \text{ and } e^{i\theta} \in S^1, \tag{5.19}$$

$$\text{(iv)} \quad F(\xi, e^{i\theta}, t) = 1_2 \quad \text{for all } e^{i\theta} \in S^1 \text{ and} \tag{5.20}$$

$$\text{(v)} \quad F(z,w,e^{i\theta},1) = 1_2 \text{ for all } (z,w) \in S^4, e^{i\theta} \in S^1. \tag{5.21}$$

Define $G : D^4 \times S^1 \times [0,1] \to \mathrm{M}_4(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$G(z,w,e^{i\theta},t) = \alpha(z,w) \begin{bmatrix} F(z,w,e^{i\theta},t) & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix} \alpha^*(z,w).$$

Then by (iv), for $(z,w) \in \partial D^4$, we have

$$G(z,w,e^{i\theta},t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence G defines a map (still denoted by G) from $S^4 \times S^1 \times [0,1] \to \mathrm{M}_4(\mathbb{C})$. Furthermore $G(z,w,e^{i\theta},t) \in P((z,w)M_4(\mathbb{C})P(z,w))$, and

$$G((z,w),e^{i\theta},0) = \alpha(z,w) \begin{bmatrix} v^4 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix} \alpha^*(z,w) = u_1^4.$$

That is G defines a homotopy between u_1^4 and the unit $P \in P\mathrm{M}_4C(S^4 \times S^1)P$. Consequently $[u_1^4] = 0$ and $[u_1] = 0 \in K_1(P\mathrm{M}_4C(S^4 \times S^1)P)$. Also $[u] = 0 \in K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1))$ as desired. □

5.9. We identify $P\mathrm{M}_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P$ as a corner of $\mathrm{M}_4C(S^4 \times S^1)$, then $K_1(P\mathrm{M}_4C(S^4 \times S^1)P)$ is isomorphic to $K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1)) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ naturally. Let $a \in P\mathrm{M}_4C(S^4 \times S^1)P$ be defined by

$$a(x, e^{i\theta}) = e^{i\theta}P(x).$$

On the other hand, a could also be regarded as a unitary in $\mathrm{M}_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))$ as $a(x,e^{i\theta}) = e^{i\theta}P(x) + (1_4 - P(x))$. Then $[a] = (2,1) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1))$, since $[a]$ is the image of $[P] \in K_0(C(S^4))$ under the exponential map

$$K_1(C(S^4)) \to K_1(C_0(S^1, C(S^4)))$$

and $[P] = (2,1) \in K_0(C(S^4)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 5.10. *No element $(1,k) \in K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1))$ can be realized by a unitary $b \in P\mathrm{M}_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P$.*

Proof. We argue for a contradiction. Assume $b \in P\mathrm{M}_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P$ satisfies $[b] = (1,k) \in K_1(P\mathrm{M}_4(C(S^4 \times S^1)P))$. Without loss of generality, we assume $b(\xi, 1) = P$. Then

$$[b^2a^*] = (0, 2k-1) \in K_1(PM_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P).$$

In particular, the map

$$e^{i\theta} \to \det \begin{bmatrix} P(\xi)(b^2a^*)(\xi,e^{i\theta})P(\xi) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - P(\xi) \end{bmatrix}_{4\times 4}$$

has winding number zero. That is, it is homotopically trivial. Hence

$$(x, e^{i\theta}) \xrightarrow{h} \det \begin{bmatrix} P(\xi)(b^2a^*)(x, e^{i\theta})P(\xi) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - P(\xi) \end{bmatrix}_{4\times 4}$$

defines a map $h : S^4 \times S^1 \to S^1$ satisfying $h_* : \pi_1(S^4 \times S^1) \to \pi_1(S^1)$ being a zero map. Hence there is a lifting $\tilde{h} : S^4 \times S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ with $h(x, e^{i\theta}) = e^{i\tilde{h}(x,e^{i\theta})}$. Define a unitary $b_1 \in P\mathrm{M}_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P$ by $b_1(x, e^{i\theta}) = e^{i\frac{1}{2}\tilde{h}(x,e^{i\theta})}P(x)$. Then $[b_1] = 0 \in K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1))$, and $b^2a^*b_1^* \in U(PM_4C(S^4 \times S^1)P)$ has determinant 1 everywhere. By Theorem 5.8, $[b^2a^*b_1^*] = 0 \in K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1))$. On the other hand

$$[b^2a^*b_1^*] = [b^2a^*] = (0, 2k - 1) \neq 0 \in K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1)),$$

which is a contradiction. □

Remark 5.11. *A similar proof also implies that for any unitary $u \in P\mathrm{M}_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P$, $[u] = l[a] = (2l, l) \in K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1))$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Corollary 5.12. *Let $A = C_0(S^1, PC(S^4)P)$ and $\tilde{A}$ be the unitization of A. Then there is no unitary $u \in A$ such that $[u] = (1, k) \in K_1(A)$. In particular, no unitary u can be corresponds to a rank one projection in $\mathrm{M}_4(C(S^4))$.*

Proof. Note that, as 5.7, we may view P as a projection in $\mathrm{M}_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))$ which is constant along the direction of S^1. So we may view $\tilde{A}$ is a unital C^*–subalgebra of $P\mathrm{M}_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P$. Thus, by the identification (5.16) in 5.7, Theorem 5.10 applies. □

Theorem 5.13. *Let $A = P\mathrm{M}_4(C(S^4))P$. Then* $\mathrm{Dur}(A) = 2$.

Proof. There is a projection $e \in \mathrm{M}_2(A)$ which is unitary equivalent to a rank one projection in $\mathrm{M}_8(C(S^4))$ correspond to $(1, 0) \in K_0(C(S^4))$. Let $C = C_0((0, 1), A)$. By 5.12, there is no unitary in $\tilde{C}$ which represents a rank one projection. It follows from 3.12 that $\mathrm{Dur}(A) > 1$.

However, since $\mathrm{M}_2(C)$ contains a rank one projection (with trace $\frac{1}{2}$) and $\rho_C(K_0(\mathrm{M}_2(C))) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, by part (3) of Theorem 3.6, $\mathrm{Dur}(\mathrm{M}_2(C)) = 1$. It follows that $\mathrm{Dur}(C) = 2$.

□

References

[1] B. Blackadar, A simple unital projectionless C^*–algbras, J. Operator Theory, 5 (1981), 63–71.

[2] L.G. Brown, Stable isomorphism of hereditary subalgebras of C^*–algebras, Pacific J. Math., 71(2) (1977), 335–348.

[3] J. Cuntz and G.K. Pedersen, Equivalence and traces on C^*–algebras, J. Funct. Anal., 33 (1979), 135–164.

[4] G. A. Elliott, A classification of certain simple C^*–algebras, II, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **12** (1997), 97–134.

[5] G. A. Elliott and G. Gong, On the classification of C^*–algebras of real rank zero, II, *Ann. of Math.* **144** (1996), 497–610.

[6] G. A. Elliott, G. Gong, and L. Li, Injectivity of the connecting maps in AH inductive systems, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **26** (2004), 4–10.

[7] G. Gong, On the classification of simple inductive limit C^*-algebras, I : The Reduction Theorem, *Documenta Math.* **7** (2002), 255–461.

[8] G. Gong, H. Lin and Z. Niu, Classification of simple C^*-algebras of generalized tracial rank one, in preparation.
[9] de la Harpe, P. and Skandalis, G., Déterminant associé à une trace sur une algèbre de Banach, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, 34-1 (1984), 169–202.
[10] H. Lin, An Introduction to the Classification of Amenable C^*–algebras, World Scientific, 2001.
[11] H. Lin, Simple nuclear C^*–algebras of tracial topological rank one, J. Funct. Anal., 251 (2007), 601–679.
[12] H. Lin, Approximate homotopy of homomorphisms from $C(X)$ into a simple C^*–algebra, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 205 (2010), no. 963, vi+131 pp. ISBN: 978-0-8218-5194-4.
[13] H. Lin, Homotopy of unitaries in simple C^*-algebras with tracial rank one. J. Funct. Anal. 258 (2010), 1822–1882.
[14] P. Masani, Multiplicative partial integration and the Trotter Product Formula, Adv. Math., 40 (1981), 1–9.
[15] G. Nagy, Stable rank of C^*–algebras of Toeplitz operators on polydisks, in *Operators in indinite metric space, scattering theory and other topics*, Birkhäuser–Verlag, 1986, pp. 227–235.
[16] K. Nielsen, and K. Thomsen, Limit of circle algebras, *Exposition Math* **14** (1996) 17–56.
[17] V. Nistor, Stable range for tensor products of extensions of $\mathcal{K}$ by $C(X)$, J. Operator Theory, 16 (1986), 387–396.
[18] M.A. Rieffel, Dimensionl and stable rank in the K–theory of C^*–Algebras, Proc. London Math. Soc., 46 (1983), 301–333.
[19] M.A. Rieffel, The homotopy groups of the unitary groups of non–commutative tori, J. Operator Theory, 17 (1987), 237–254.
[20] K. Thomsen, Traces, Unitary Characters and Crossed Products by $\mathbb{Z}$, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 1 (1995), 1011–1029.
[21] K. Thomsen, Limits of certain subhomogeneous C^*-algebras, *Mem. Soc. Math. Fr.* **71** (1999).
[22] J. Villadsen, On the stable rank of simple C*–algebras, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 12 (4) (1999), 1091–1102.
[23] Y. Xue, The general stable rank in non–stable K–theory, Rocky Mount. J. Math., 30 (2000), 761–775.
[24] Y. Xue, The K–groups of $C(M) \times_\theta \mathbb{Z}_p$ for certain pairs (M, θ), J. Operator Theory, 46 (2001), 337–354.
[25] Y. Xue, Approximate diagonalization of self–adjoint matrices over $C(M)$, Funct. Anal. Approx. Comput., 2:1 (2010), 53–65.
[26] S. Zhang, Matricial Structure and homotopy type of simple C^*–algebras with real rank zero, J. Operator Theory, 26 (1991), 283–312.
[27] S. Zhang, Diagonalizing projections in multiplier algebras and in matrices over a C^*–algebra, Pacific J. Math., 145(1) (1990), 181–200.

College of Mathematics, Jilin University 130012, China; and
Department of Mathematics., University of Puerto Rico, Rio Piedras, PR 00931, USA, Research Center for Operator Algebras and Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Key Laboratory of PMMP,
East China Normal University
Shanghai 200062, China